https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2017.572



# 吉林临江八道沟二长花岗岩地球化学、 Hf 同位素特征及其成因

田力丹1,孙丰月1\*,王 力1,刘金龙2,吴 琼3

1.吉林大学地球科学学院,吉林长春 130061

2.中国地质调查局沈阳地质调查中心,辽宁沈阳 110034

3.中国地质科学院矿产资源研究所国土资源部成矿作用与资源评价重点实验室,北京 100037

**摘要**:八道沟具有复杂的构造环境,且侏罗纪花岗岩在该地区分布较为有限,因此十分缺乏对区域构造演化环境判断的证据,对 八道沟二长花岗岩进行了地球化学、锆石 U-Pb 年代学及 Hf 同位素研究,结果显示:岩石样品中的锆石主要为长柱状,自形程度 较好,发育较清晰的韵律生长环带结构,具岩浆锆石特征,该岩石形成于早侏罗世(176±1 Ma);岩石 SiO<sub>2</sub> 含量为68.93%~ 70.02%,贫 MgO(1.27%~1.45%)、CaO(1.80%~2.78%),A/CNK 值为 0.92~1.02,轻稀土元素明显富集,铕异常不明显(0.96~ 1.03),属于准铝质高钾钙碱性系列,显示为 I 型花岗岩特征;岩石 ε<sub>Hf</sub>(t) 值为 - 20.21~ - 24.08, 二阶段模式年龄t<sub>DM2</sub>(Hf)为 2 498~2 740 Ma,且富集大离子亲石元素,亏损高场强元素 Nb、Ta、P、Ti,Mg<sup>#</sup> 值介于 45~47,由此推断岩石源于新太古代下地壳 熔融.结合区域同时代岩浆岩展布,认为八道沟地区二长花岗岩的形成与古太平洋板块向欧亚大陆俯冲有关. **关键词**:二长花岗岩;锆石 U-Pb 定年; Hf 同位素;早侏罗世;八道沟;地球化学;地质年代学.

**中图分类号:** P581 文章编号: 1000-2383(2018)02-0436-13 收稿日期: 2017-09-22

# Geochemistry, Hf Isotopes and Petrogenesis of Badaogou Monzonotic Granites from Linjiang, Jilin Province

Tian Lidan<sup>1</sup>, Sun Fengyue<sup>1\*</sup>, Wang Li<sup>1</sup>, Liu Jinlong<sup>1</sup>, Wu Qiong<sup>3</sup>

1. College of Earth Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun 130061, China

2. Shenyang Center, China Geological Survey, Shenyang 110034, China

3.MLR Key Laboratory of Metallogeny and Mineral Assessment of , Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing 100037, China

Abstract: The tectonic setting is complex while Jurassic granite is relatively limited in the Badaogou area, which results in a lack of theoretical basis for its regional tectonic evolution studies. This paper presents a synthesis of geochemical and zircon U-Pb chronological and Hf isotopic study on the Badaogou monzonitic granites. The zircons of rock samples which have distinct rhythmic growth zoning are long cylindrical and euhedral well-formed, implying the features of magmatic origin. Dating results indicate that the rocks were formed in the Early Jurassic ( $176 \pm 1$  Ma). The rocks which obviously are rich in LREE and have unconspicuous europium anomalies (0.96-1.03) with regard to high SiO<sub>2</sub>(68.93%-70.02%), low MgO (1.27%-1.45%), CaO (1.80%-2.78%) as well as A/CNK (0.92-1.02) belong to sub-aluminous and high-K calc-alkaline granites, being of the characteristics of I-type granites. The  $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$  values vary from -20.21 to -24.08, and the two-stage model age ( $t_{DM2}$ ) ranges from 2 498 to 2 740 Ma. These samples are enriched in LILE and depleted in HFSE (such as Nb, Ta, P, Ti), with Mg<sup>#</sup> values of 45-47. Analyses show that the rocks came from the melting of neo-Archean lower crust. Combining with the background of

基金项目: 吉林省地质勘查基金项目(No.22201300111).

作者简介:田力丹(1993-),女,硕士研究生,主要从事矿床学研究.ORCID:0000-0002-2464-7963.E-mail: tianlidan65@outlook.com \* 通讯作者:孙丰月,ORCID:0000-0001-9408-7298.E-mail: sfy@jlu.edu.cn

regional contemporary magmatic distribution, it is concluded that the formation of monzonotic granites from Badaogou could be related to the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific plate under the Eurasian continent.

Key words: monzonitic granites; zircon U-Pb dating; Hf isotope; Early Jurassic; Badaogou; geochemistry; geochronology.

八道沟位于吉林省临江市东南部,大地构造上 处于华北板块东北缘,隶属于辽吉古元古代造山带, 其南部为狼林地块(李雪梅,2009)(图1).研究区所 在的中朝板块自太古宙起经历了一系列大规模的构 造运动,区内构造环境复杂,一直是备受争议的热点 地区.自中生代开始,该地区主要受北部的古亚洲洋 构造域及东部的环太平洋构造域影响.前人普遍认 为在早一中三叠世,长春一延吉缝合线最终闭合,古 亚洲洋彻底消失(Wu et al.,2011;彭玉鲸等, 2012),随后进入环太平洋构造体系.作为俯冲作用 产物之一的花岗质岩浆,在八道沟所在的吉南地区 分布广泛,但现有研究结果显示侏罗纪花岗岩分布 较为有限,目前仅见于荒沟山地区的草山、梨树沟、 老秃顶子及遥林岩体(孙德有等,2005;秦亚等, 2013),明显缺乏对区域构造演化环境判断的充分证据.此外,吉林省地质调查研究院在研究区北部发现 了较具规模的八道沟金矿,孙永杰等(2007)研究认 为区内花岗岩体的构造蚀变带是找矿重要标志之 一.因此,本文对八道沟地区出露的花岗岩进行了详 细的年代学、岩石地球化学及 Hf 同位素研究,确定 了其形成时代,从而揭示该区侏罗纪构造背景,并为 八道沟金矿提供一些研究资料.

# 1 地质背景

研究区内出露的地层包括下元古界老岭群花山 组、新元古界青白口系钓鱼台组、寒武系及奥陶系, 研究区北部出露有侏罗系且零星分布新近系船底山



图 1 研究区大地构造位置及岩浆岩分布

Fig.1 Geotectonic location and magmatite distribution of the study area

1.早白垩世侵入岩,2.侏罗纪花岗岩,3.晚三叠世碱性岩,4.晚三叠世闪长岩-花岗岩,5.晚二叠-中三叠世侵入岩,6.石炭纪-早二叠世侵入 岩,7.断裂带,8.地名;据Xu(2001),Yang *et al.*(2003,2005),Zhao *et al.*(2006),Li *et al.*(2012),Zhang *et al.*(2014),Deng and Wang(2016),刘 金龙等(2016)



图 2 研究区地质简图

Fig.2 Geological map of the study area

1.新近系船底山组;2.休罗系;3.奥陶系;4.寒武系;5.青白口系钓鱼台组;6.老岭群花山组;7.喜马拉雅期玄武岩;8.休罗纪花岗岩;9.断裂及节理

组玄武岩(李东津等,1997)(图 2).其中老岭群花山 组分布在八道沟西北部,岩性为以千枚岩为主的泥 质粉砂质浅变质岩系;青白口系钓鱼台组则出露在 八道沟东部及北部,岩性为以石英砂岩为主的碎屑 沉积建造;古生界寒武系及奥陶系交替出露在研究 区北缘,前者为碳酸盐一碎屑岩沉积建造,后者则为 以灰岩为主的碳酸盐沉积建造.

八道沟地区岩浆岩分布广泛,岩体出露面积较 大且总体呈南东向展布.该岩体北部大部分被新生 代喜马拉雅期玄武岩覆盖,其余部分与老岭群花山 组、青白口系钓鱼台组、奥陶系及寒武系呈侵入接 触,花岗岩与灰岩、大理岩接触处形成规模较小且形 态复杂的砂卡岩.区内构造发育,主要以近东西走向 的节理及脆性断裂的形式出现,东西向构造形成较 早,多被后期北东、北西向断裂错开.北西、北东及近 南北向线性构造与东西向断裂带共同切割元古代及 古生代地层,形成了一套角砾化、碎裂化岩石组合, 同时也控制了该区矿脉在空间上的产出与分布(吉 林省地质矿产局,1988;孙永杰等,2007).

## 2 样品描述

岩石样品为二长花岗岩,具花岗结构、块状构造,主要矿物为斜长石、碱性长石、石英、角闪石及黑



图 3 八道沟二长花岗岩显微照片 Fig.3 Microscopic photographs from Badaogou monzonitic granites Bt.黑云母;Mc.微斜长石;Pl.斜长石;Qz.石英

云母,副矿物主要为磁铁矿、锆石、绿帘石、榍石和磷 灰石等.其中斜长石含量约为35%,自形一半自形, 粒度为0.5~2.0 mm,发育聚片双晶,表面有弱绢云 母化;碱性长石包括微斜长石、条纹长石,含量约为 30%,粒度为1~2 mm;石英含量约为25%,粒度为 0.2~1.0 mm,呈不规则粒状,局部交代长石;角闪石 含量约为5%,呈长柱状,长轴为1~2 mm;黑云母 含量小于5%,呈片状,少量绿泥石化(图3).

# 3 分析方法

#### 3.1 锆石 LA-ICP-MS 年代学

锆石单矿物挑选是由河北省廊坊市区域地质调 查研究所实验室完成,利用标准重矿物分离技术,在 双目镜下挑选出晶形较好且无明显裂痕的锆石单矿 物颗粒,将不同矿物固定在环氧树脂表面,打磨抛光 后露出锆石的表面,最后进行透射光、反射光及阴极 发光(CL)图像的采集.锆石激光剥蚀等离子体质谱 (LA-ICP-MS)U-Pb 同位素分析则在吉林大学东北 亚矿产资源评价国土资源部重点实验室完成.激光 剥蚀仪器使用德国相干公司(Coherent)COMPEx Pro型ArF准分子激光器,质谱仪使用美国安捷伦 公司 7500A 型四极杆等离子质谱.本次测试采用的 激光剥蚀束斑直径为 32 μm,能量密度为 10 J/cm<sup>2</sup>, 剥蚀频率为 8 Hz.实验中使用高纯度氦气(流速为 600 mL/min)作为载气流,辅助气为氩气(气流量为 1.15 L/min).采用国际标准锆石 91500(1 062 Ma) 进行同位素比值的外部校正,标准锆石 PLE/GJ-1/ Qing Hu 为监控盲样. 锆石元素含量以国际标样 NIST610 为外标、Si 为内部标准计算,NIST612 和 NIST614 为监控盲样.样品同位素比值及元素含量 计算由 ICPMSDataCal(Liu et al., 2008, 2010)测 定,年龄计算及绘制图件采用国际标准程序 Isoplot (Ludwig, 2003), 普通铅校正则使用 Anderson (2002)给出的程序计算.

#### 3.2 岩石地球化学

本次样品主、微量元素均在吉林大学测试实验中 心完成.主量元素由X射线荧光光谱仪(PW1401/10) 测定(GB/T14506.28-93),相对标准偏差为2%~5%; 微量及稀土元素则由美国安捷伦科技有限公司Agilent 7500A型耦合等离子体质谱仪测定(Z/T0223-2001),本次样品测试经国际标样BHVO-2、BCR-2和 国家标样GBW07103、GBW07104监控,元素含量大 于10×10<sup>-6</sup>的分析精度小于5%,元素含量小于10× 10<sup>-6</sup>的分析精度小于 10%.

#### 3.3 锆石 Hf 同位素测试

本次样品中锆石 Lu-Hf 同位素测定在中国地 质科学院矿产资源研究所国土资源部成矿作用与资 源评价重点实验室完成,实验所用仪器及系统分别 采用 Finnigan Neptune 多接收电感耦合等离子质 谱仪及 New Wave UP213 激光剥蚀系统,具体分析 流程及仪器操作参见侯可军等(2007).分析过程中 采用锆石标样 GJ1 作为参考物,其<sup>176</sup> Hf/<sup>177</sup> Hf 加权 平均值为 0.282 015±0.000 028(2σ, n=10),与文献 报道值(Elhlou *et al.*,2006;侯可军等,2007)在误差 范围内一致.

# 4 分析结果

#### 4.1 锆石 LA-ICP-MS 年代学

岩石样品中的锆石主要为长柱状,自形程度较 好,具有较清晰的韵律生长环带结构(图 4),且其 Th/U比值为 0.22~0.62(表 1),具岩浆成因锆石特 征(Weaver,1991).锆石分析点均落在谐和线上及其 附近,<sup>206</sup> Pb/<sup>238</sup> U的加权平均年龄为 176±1 Ma, MSWD=0.92(图 5),代表花岗岩的侵位年龄属早 侏罗世.

#### 4.2 地球化学特征

**4.2.1 主量元素** 八道沟花岗岩主量元素分析结果 见表 2,其中 SiO<sub>2</sub> 含量为 68.93%~70.02%,全碱含 量(K<sub>2</sub>O+Na<sub>2</sub>O)为 7.69%~7.99%,MgO 含量为 1.27%~1.45%,CaO 含量为 1.80%~2.78%,Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>T</sup> 含量为3.05%~3.32%,Mg<sup>#</sup> 值介于45~47,铝饱和



图 4 八道沟二长花岗岩部分锆石阴极发光(CL)图像

Fig. 4 Cathodoluminescene (CL) images of zircons from Badaogou monzonitic granites

遡尽寺 Th 3DG-N1-1 441 3DG-N1-3 145	重(10_0)	TT/ 1.T			同位素比值	及误差				~	年龄(Ma)及	误差				<b>汰地质温度</b> 计	箅
3DG-N1-1 441 3DG-N1-3 145	D	- 1P/O	$^{207}{\rm Pb}/^{206}{\rm Pb}$	$1\sigma$	$^{207}\mathrm{Pb}/^{235}\mathrm{U}$	$1\sigma$	$^{206}\mathrm{Pb}/^{238}\mathrm{U}$	$1\sigma^{2(}$	$^{17}\mathrm{Pb}/^{206}\mathrm{Pb}$	$1\sigma^2$	$^{07}\mathrm{Pb}/^{235}\mathrm{U}$	$l\sigma$	$^{206}{ m Pb}/^{238}{ m U}$	lo Ti	(10 <sup>-6</sup> )	最高温度(°C)	最低温度(°C)
3DG-N1-3 1 45	851	0.52	0.055 2	0.0044	0.2070	0.0164	0.027 2	0.000 5	433	180	191	14	173	3	15.8	789	776
	5 3 3 9 5	0.43	0.053 0	0.0021	0.1990	0.008 2	0.027 1	0.0004	328	91	184	7	172	2	63.7	942	928
3DG-N1-5 1 30	5 2 307	0.57	0.052 6	0.0026	0.2036	0.0102	0.028 0	0.0004	322	119	188	6	178	3	12.0	763	751
3DG-N1-6 893	3 381	0.26	0.053 1	0.0022	0.2006	0.0079	0.0274	0.0004	332	66	186	7	174	2	7.97	727	716
3DG-N1-7 1 54.	5 4679	0.33	0.053 9	0.0020	0.2031	0.007 5	0.0272	0.0004	369	85	188	9	173	2	8.41	732	720
3DG-N1-9 1 04:	9 3 394	0.31	0.055 0	0.0023	0.2104	0.009 0	0.0276	0.000 3	409	91	194	~	175	2	19.4	809	797
DG-N1-10 1 01:	2 1906	0.53	0.053 1	0.0026	0.2040	0.0110	0.0277	0.000 5	332	113	189	6	176	ŝ	8.40	732	720
DG-N1-14 890	4 035	0.22	0.051 1	0.0019	0.1955	0.007 3	0.0276	0.000 3	256	81	181	9	176	2	12.8	769	757
DG-N1-15 1 100	8 4354	0.25	0.0514	0.0018	0.1998	0.007 3	0.028 1	0.0004	261	81	185	9	178	2	15.8	789	777
DG-N1-16 883	2916	0.30	0.050 0	0.0020	0.1899	0.0074	0.0276	0.0003	195	94	177	9	175	2	6.36	709	269
DG-N1-17 1 10.	3 3 5 5 3	0.31	0.050 9	0.0019	0.1911	0.0072	0.0272	0.0003	235	89	178	9	173	2	15.6	788	776
DG-N1-18 425	1865	0.23	0.051 0	0.0023	0.1965	0.0088	0.028 0	0.0004	243	106	182	7	178	2	1.52	604	593
DG-N1-19 973	2169	0.45	0.053 9	0.0028	0.2083	0.0112	0.028 1	0.000 5	369	120	192	6	179	33	7.62	723	712
DG-N1-20 384.	1 8638	0.44	0.057 2	0.0018	0.2239	0.0074	0.0282	0.0004	502	70	205	9	179	ŝ	49.5	911	897
DG-N1-22 853	2938	0.29	0.048 3	0.0019	0.1846	0.0073	0.0276	0.0004	117	94	172	9	176	2	17.7	800	787
DG-N1-23 2 010	5 3 2 5 9	0.62	0.047 1	0.0018	0.1857	0.0078	0.0282	0.000 5	53.8	89	173	7	179	ŝ	24.8	834	821
DG-N1-24 1 14	7 4 061	0.28	0.051 1	0.0025	0.1949	0.0084	0.0279	0.000 5	256	111	181	7	177	33	13.2	772	760
DG-N1-25 1 05.	5 3 0 9 6	0.34	0.0484	0.0021	0.1807	0.0074	0.0271	0.0004	120	102	169	9	172	3	6.76	713	702

表1 八道沟二长花岗岩锆石 LA-ICP-MS U-Pb 同位素分析结果 Zirron I A-ICP-MS II-Pb isotone analysis results of Badaroou monzonitic granites 地球科学 http://www.earth-science.net





Fig.5 U-Pb concordia diagram for Badaogou monzonitic granites

# 表 2 八道沟二长花岗岩主量元素(%)、微量元素(10<sup>-6</sup>)和 稀土元素(10<sup>-6</sup>)含量

Table 2 Major elements (%), trace elements ( $10^{-6}$ ) and REE ( $10^{-6}$ ) contents of Badaogou monzonitic granites

样品	BDG-B1	BDG-B2	BDG-B3	BDG-B4	BDG-B5
$SiO_2$	69.19	70.02	69.48	69.51	68.93
${\rm TiO}_2$	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.28	0.30
$\operatorname{Al}_2\operatorname{O}_3$	14.15	14.04	14.57	14.15	14.32
$Fe_2O_3{}^T$	3.25	3.14	3.05	3.07	3.32
MnO	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12
MgO	1.36	1.41	1.27	1.33	1.45
CaO	2.74	1.80	2.40	2.78	2.53
$Na_2O$	3.44	3.31	3.61	3.47	3.53
$\mathrm{K}_2\mathrm{O}$	4.25	4.68	4.32	4.28	4.41
$P_2O_5$	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09
LOI	0.91	0.82	0.63	0.50	0.89
Total	99.81	99.71	99.83	99.57	99.90
ALK	7.69	7.99	7.92	7.74	7.94
$Na_2 O/K_2 O$	0.81	0.71	0.84	0.81	0.80
A/CNK	0.93	1.02	0.97	0.92	0.94
Mg #	45	47	45	46	46
La	20.7	22.2	20.7	13.9	15.8
Ce	41.6	41.1	37.7	25.9	30.4
Pr	4.81	5.02	4.71	3.51	3.88
Nd	16.9	17.6	16.9	12.6	14.3
Sm	3.37	3.34	3.40	2.79	3.15
Eu	1.00	1.01	1.04	0.90	0.97
Gd	2.85	2.88	2.85	2.41	2.86
Tb	0.45	0.42	0.45	0.39	0.44
Dy	2.31	2.27	2.36	2.11	2.43
Ho	0.51	0.46	0.49	0.44	0.49
Er	1.41	1.34	1.41	1.29	1.42
Tm	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.22
Yb	1.51	1.37	1.41	1.35	1.53
Lu	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.24
Υ	11.4	10.6	11.3	10.3	11.5

续表 2					
样品	BDG-B1	BDG-B2	BDG-B3	BDG-B4	BDG-B5
ΣREE	97.9	99.5	93.8	68.0	78.1
LREE	88.4	90.4	84.4	59.6	68.5
HREE	9.51	9.15	9.43	8.41	9.63
LREE/HREE	9.29	9.87	8.95	7.09	7.11
(La/Yb) <sub>N</sub>	9.82	11.7	10.5	7.38	7.41
δEu	0.96	0.97	0.99	1.03	0.96
δCe	0.99	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.93
Rb	137	148	149	86.3	110
Ba	550	643	611	488	530
Th	12.8	11.5	12.4	8.95	9.37
U	3.07	2.42	2.12	2.00	2.02
Nb	12.0	11.4	12.7	10.4	11.3
Ta	1.63	1.54	1.62	1.50	1.44
Sr	370	317	341	304	356
Zr	50.2	39.2	42.5	95.4	47.2
Hf	2.58	2.19	2.32	3.87	2.48
Nb/Ta	7.35	7.38	7.85	6.94	7.81
$\rm Rb/Sr$	0.37	0.47	0.44	0.28	0.31
La/Nb	1.73	1.95	1.62	1.33	1.40
Ba/Nb	46.0	56.5	48.0	46.9	47.1

注:ALK=摩尔(Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O),A/CNK=摩尔 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/(CaO+ Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O);Na<sub>2</sub>O/K<sub>2</sub>O 为质量分数比值;Mg<sup>#</sup>=100×(MgO/ 40.31)/(MgO/40.31+Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T×2/159.7).

指数 A/CNK 为 0.92~1.02.岩石样品在 SiO<sub>2</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O 图 解上均落在高钾钙碱性系列(图 6a),在 A/CNK-A/NK 图解中均落在准铝质范围内(图 6b).

**4.2.2 微量元素** 八道沟花岗岩稀土总量  $\Sigma$ REE 为 78.1×10<sup>-6</sup>~99.5×10<sup>-6</sup>,在稀土元素配分模式 图上曲线基本一致(图 7a).(La/Yb)<sub>N</sub> 为 7.38~ 11.7,LREE/HREE 为 7.09~9.87,配分曲线为明显 的右倾型,强烈富集轻稀土元素(LREE)且分馏明 显,重稀土元素(HREE)相对亏损;  $\delta$ Eu 为 0.96~ 1.03, 铕异常不明显.图 7b 显示, 微量元素相对富集 K、Ba、Rb 等大离子亲石元素和 Th、U 等活泼的不 相容元素, 亏损 Nb、Ta、P、Zr、Ti 等高场强元素.

#### 4.3 锆石 Lu-Hf 同位素

八道 沟 花 岗 岩 锆 石 的<sup>176</sup> Hf/<sup>177</sup> Hf 比 值 为 0.281 989~0.282 098,  $\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}$  (*t*) 值 为 - 20.21 ~ -24.08, Hf 同位素单阶段模式年龄( $t_{\rm DM1}$ )和二阶段 模式年龄( $t_{\rm DM2}$ )分别为 1 672~1 826 Ma 和 2 498~ 2 740 Ma(表 3).此外,由于测试点的  $f_{\rm Lu/Hf}$ 值为 0.94~0.96, 明显低于铁镁质地壳  $f_{\rm Lu/Hf}$ 值(-0.34) 和硅铝质地壳  $f_{\rm Lu/Hf}$ 值(-0.72)(Vervoort *et al.*, 1996; Amelin *et al.*,2000), 因此二阶段模式年龄更 能反映其源区物质从亏损地幔抽取或在地壳中的平 均存留年龄(刘跃等,2014).



图 6 八道沟二长花岗岩 SiO<sub>2</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O 图解和 A/CNK-A/NK 图解 Fig.6 SiO<sub>2</sub> vs. K<sub>2</sub>O diagram (a) and A/CNK vs. A/NK diagram (b) of Badaogou monzonitic granites

样品	t(Ma)	$^{176}{ m Yb}/^{177}{ m Hf}$	$^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf$	$^{176}{ m Hf}/^{177}{ m Hf}$	$2\sigma$	$\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(0)$	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$	$2\sigma$	$t_{\rm DM1}(\rm Hf)$	$t_{\rm DM2}(\rm Hf)$	$f_{\rm Lu/Hf}$
BDG-N1-1	176	0.040 955	0.001 710	0.282 023	0.000 014	-26.49	-22.83	0.50	1 764	2 662	-0.95
BDG-N1-2	176	0.041 711	0.001 770	0.282 044	0.000 015	-25.73	-22.08	0.54	1736	2 615	-0.95
BDG-N1-3	176	0.037 639	0.001 569	0.282 039	0.000 013	-25.92	-22.25	0.45	1735	2 6 2 6	-0.95
BDG-N1-4	176	0.031 808	0.001 396	0.282 010	0.000 014	-26.96	-23.27	0.49	1768	2 690	-0.96
BDG-N1-5	176	0.032 890	0.001 437	0.282 018	0.000 017	-26.67	-22.98	0.59	1758	2 671	-0.96
BDG-N1-6	176	0.034 119	0.001 424	0.282 059	0.000 016	-25.22	-21.53	0.56	1 700	2 581	-0.96
BDG-N1-7	176	0.038 575	0.001 623	0.282 036	0.000 013	-26.02	-22.35	0.45	1 741	2 6 3 2	-0.95
BDG-N1-8	176	0.030 998	0.001 291	0.282 036	0.000 016	-26.04	-22.34	0.58	1727	2 631	-0.96
BDG-N1-9	176	0.048 996	0.001 986	0.281 989	0.000 017	-27.71	-24.08	0.61	1 826	2 740	-0.94
BDG-N1-10	176	0.041 459	0.001 751	0.282 089	0.000 015	-24.14	-20.49	0.54	1 672	2 515	-0.95
BDG-N1-11	176	0.047 229	0.001 990	0.282 050	0.000 015	-25.54	-21.92	0.52	1739	2 605	-0.94
BDG-N1-12	176	0.028 037	0.001 170	0.282 006	0.000 016	-27.07	-23.35	0.57	1762	2 695	-0.96
BDG-N1-14	176	0.052 130	0.002 129	0.282 098	0.000 016	-23.82	-20.21	0.56	1 676	2 4 9 8	-0.94
BDG-N1-15	176	0.051 916	0.002 079	0.282 056	0.000 014	-25.33	-21.72	0.51	1 735	2 592	-0.94





图 7 八道沟二长花岗岩稀土元素球粒陨石标准化配分模式(a)和微量元素原始地幔标准化蛛网图(b)

Fig.7 Chondrite-normalized REE pattern (a) and PM-normalized trace element spider diagram (b) of Badaogou monzonitic granites 球粒陨石标准化值据 Boynton(1984),原始地幔标准化值据 Sun and McDonough (1989)

### 5 讨论

#### 5.1 岩浆源区

八道沟花岗岩 SiO<sub>2</sub> 含量为 68.93%~70.02%, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>含量为14.04%~14.57%,贫MgO(1.27%~ 1.45%)、 $MnO(1.11 \sim 1.12)$ 和 Ca $O(1.80\% \sim$ 2.78%),全碱含量为7.69%~7.99%, Na<sub>2</sub>O/K<sub>2</sub>O 比值为 0.71~0.84, 指示其来源于陆壳(张旗等, 2008).富集 Rb、K 等大离子亲石元素和轻稀土元 素,亏损高场强元素 Nb、Ta、P、Ti,与下地壳岩石微 量元素配分模式一致(Rudnick et al., 1995).岩石样 品的 Rb/Sr 值为 0.28~0.47, 与地壳 Rb/Sr 标准值 0.35 接近(上地幔值为 0.034)(Taylor and McLennan,1995);Nb/Ta 值为 6.94~7.85,与地壳 Nb/Ta 标准值 8.30 接近(地幔平均值为 17.5)(Sun and McDonough, 1989);此外 La/Nb 值为 1.33~1.95 (平均值 1.61), Ba/Nb 值为 46.0~56.5(平均值 48.9),两者均与地壳标准值相近(La/Nb 地壳、地幔 标准值分别为 2.20、0.94; Ba/Nb 地壳、地幔标准值 分别为 54.0、9.0)(Weaver, 1991);结合 Mg<sup>#</sup> 值介于 45~47,暗示其岩浆可能源于下地壳部分熔融(Bea et al., 2001). 岩石中 Cr、Ni、Co、Sc 和 V 含量分别为  $21.4 \times 10^{-6} \sim 50.3 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $6.3 \times 10^{-6} \sim 14.2 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $6.63 \times 10^{-6} \sim 7.78 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $4.52 \times 10^{-6} \sim 5.53 \times 10^{-6}$  $\pi_{37.6} \times 10^{-6} \sim 42.6 \times 10^{-6}$ ,与地幔值的对比结果 指示岩石未与地幔发生反应(Atherton and Petford,1993;邓晋福等,1999). 铕异常不明显(δEu= 0.96~1.03),贫 Yb(1.35×10<sup>-6</sup>~1.53×10<sup>-6</sup>)和 Y (10.3×10<sup>-6</sup>~11.5×10<sup>-6</sup>),表明岩浆源区石榴石 稳定存在(张旗等,2006),结合 Sr 含量(304× 10<sup>-6</sup>~370×10<sup>-6</sup>)笔者认为源区可能不稳定存在斜 长石.此外,大量前人研究资料显示东北地区侏罗纪 期间可能存在地壳加厚的现象(刘燊等,2009;张超, 2014;杨凤超等,2015),因此笔者推测岩石应为加厚 下地壳部分熔融产物.

锆石 Hf 同位素也是示踪岩浆源区的重要手段 (Griffin et al., 2000; Bouvier et al., 2008; 李碧乐 等, 2016). 研究区锆石的  $\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 值为 $-20.2 \sim$ -24.1,图 8表明岩石为壳源(Yang et al., 2006; 吴 福元等, 2007a), 此外锆石 Hf 同位素成分变化范围 较小,说明岩石的源区单一.结合二阶段模式年龄  $t_{\rm DM2}$ (Hf)为 2 498~2 740 Ma 及区域动力学演化背 景(李雪梅, 2009), 笔者认为八道沟花岗岩属新太古 代下地壳部分熔融的产物.



Fig.8 Hf isotopic compositions of Badaogou monzonitic granites 据 Yang *et al.*(2006)

#### 5.2 构造背景及成因

八道沟花岗岩富硅、碱,铝含量中等,贫 MgO、 CaO、Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>T</sup>,轻稀土元素明显富集,铕异常不明 显,A/CNK 值均小于 1.1(0.92~1.02), A/NK 值均 大于1.0(1.35~1.38),属准铝质高钾钙碱性系列, 显示为 I 型花岗岩特征,此外,岩石样晶锆石 U-Pb 测年结果显示其加权平均年龄为 176±1 Ma, MSWD=0.92,为早侏罗世产物;而对研究区附近出 露的花岗岩岩体前人已进行过研究,如孙德有等 (2005)利用 CHIME 定年法测定梨树沟(173± 10 Ma)、草山(175±6 Ma)岩体年龄,秦亚等(2013) 利用锆石 U-Pb 测年法测得荒沟山地区老秃顶子 (179±1 Ma)及草山岩体(181±1 Ma)年龄,结果显 示其均与本次研究样品属同一时代的岩浆产物.大 量研究结果表明,沿华北板块东部至张广才岭地区 分布着一期呈东北向展布岩性相似的I型侏罗纪花 岗岩(图 1),其年龄从东到西呈由老变新的趋势(张 炯飞和祝洪臣, 2000; Wu et al., 2005; 隋振民, 2007;杨进辉等,2007;裴福平,2008;张娟,2011;张 超,2014);结合八道沟花岗岩及周围岩体地球化学 数据及年代学分析结果,笔者判断其均为该期侏罗 纪花岗岩的一部分.

关于古太平洋板块俯冲开始时间一直为研究区 内争议的热点问题,主要有以下两种观点,一种认为 是晚三叠世(彭玉鲸和陈跃军,2007),另一种则认为 是早一中侏罗世(Xu et al.,2009;Wu et al.,2011). 有学者研究认为华北东部并不存在与太平洋板块边 界相平行的三叠纪花岗岩带(Li and Li,2007),而东 北地区晚三叠世火山岩呈面型展布,两者均无法用 太平洋板块俯冲模式来解释;此外,大洋板块俯冲最 直接的标志是岩浆作用和陆缘增生杂岩及其沉积作 用,而东北亚东部大陆边缘未发现晚三叠世钙碱性 火山岩及其同期增生岩(唐杰等,2016),区域内发育 的晚三叠世海陆交互相沉积地层显示为被动陆缘沉 积组合(Zhang et al., 2015),由此笔者推断晚三叠 世并不存在古太平洋板块的俯冲作用.而小兴安 岭一张广才岭地区发育一系列早一中侏罗世双峰式 火山岩组合(唐杰等,2011;Yu et al.,2012;徐美君 等,2013),吉黑东部一朝鲜半岛北端发育同时代类 似的陆缘钙碱性火山岩组合(许文良等,2008;唐杰 等,2016),结合该期花岗岩整体宏观趋势及呈带状 展布的特点,笔者推断其形成与古太平洋板块在 早一中侏罗世处于俯冲背景下有关.而刘万臻 (2014)对福安堡钼矿进行的研究表明其属于细网脉 状钼矿床,赋矿围岩属 I 型花岗岩(形成时间分别为 179±2 Ma,172±1 Ma),成矿时代为中侏罗世,指 示该时期的成矿作用与环太平洋体系有关.

吴福元等(2007b)对辽东半岛中生代花岗岩进 行锆石饱和温度计算发现,侏罗纪花岗岩形成温度 约为 750 ℃,而白垩纪花岗岩形成温度为 799~ 865 ℃.前者属低温花岗岩,其形成可能与流体加入 有关,并很可能反映一种俯冲的构造背景;而后者属 高温花岗岩,其热能的产生可能与俯冲作用后期岩 石圈拆沉导致软流圈上涌带来大量热能有关.笔者 利用锆石 Ti 地质温度计算公式(Watson et al., 2006)对八道沟花岗岩锆石 18 个有效点的 Ti 含量 进行计算,得到其最低温度平均值为760℃,最高温 度平均值为 773 ℃,属低温花岗岩.Xia et al.(2013) 利用傅里叶变换红外光谱(FTIR)对华北板块费县 玄武岩单斜辉石斑晶的结构水含量进行分析,结果 显示其初始熔体水含量高于洋中脊玄武岩、洋岛玄 武岩和弧后盆地玄武岩(Dixon et al., 1988, 1997; Michael, 1988, 1995; Sobolev and Chaussidon, 1996), 与岛弧玄武岩水含量相当(Hochstaedter et al., 1990; Danyushevsky et al., 1993), 说明中生 代玄武岩地幔源区可能富水.综合上述分析,笔者推 测研究区花岗岩形成可能有流体加入.洋壳在深俯 冲过程中俯冲板片脱水导致地幔部分熔融,是火山 弧、弧后及板内岩浆作用的源区(Maruyama et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007).随着俯冲深度的增加,温度 和压力逐渐升高,导致俯冲板片及其所携带的沉积 物发生变质、脱水形成俯冲带流体,该流体在一定深 度范围内直接交代地幔使其熔点降低并发生部分熔

融.研究区八道沟花岗岩的形成则与早一中侏罗世 古太平洋板块的俯冲作用导致俯冲带流体交代地幔 楔、使其部分熔融形成玄武质岩浆并发生大规模的 底侵作用、大量的热能和流体导致下地壳物质部分 熔融有关.

### 6 结论

(1)八道沟二长花岗岩 LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U-Pb 测年结果显示,岩石加权平均年龄为 176±1 Ma, MSWD=0.92,属早侏罗世产物.

(2)八道沟二长花岗岩富硅、碱,贫 MgO、CaO、 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>T</sup>,轻稀土元素明显富集,铕异常不明显;锆石  $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ 值为一20.2~一24.1, $t_{\text{DM2}}$ 为2498~ 2740 Ma,表明其源于新太古代下地壳部分熔融.

(3)八道沟二长花岗岩形成于古太平洋板块向 欧亚大陆俯冲作用过程中,属岩浆弧环境下的产物.

#### References

- Amelin, Y., Lee, D. C., Halliday, A. N., 2000. Early-Middle Archaean Crustal Evolution Deduced from Lu-Hf and U-Pb Isotopic Studies of Single Zircon Grains. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 64 (24): 4205 - 4225. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(00)00493-2
- Anderson, T., 2002. Correction of Common Lead in U-Pb Analyses that Do Not Report <sup>204</sup> Pb. Chemical Geology, 192(1):59 - 79. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-2541 (02)00195-x
- Atherton, M. P., Petford, N., 1993. Generation of Sodium-Rich Magmas from Newly Underplated Basaltic Crust. Nature, 362(6416):144-146.https://doi.org/10.1038/362144a0
- Bea, F., Arzamastsev, A., Montero, O., et al., 2001. Anomalous Alkaline Rocks of Soustov, Kola: Evidence of Mantle-Derived Metasomatic Fluids Affecting Crustal Materials. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 140 (5): 554-566.https://doi.org/10.1007/s004100000211
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J. D., Patchett, P. J., 2008. The Lu-Hf and Sm-Nd Isotopic Composition of CHUR: Constraints from Unequilibrated Chondrites and Implications for the Bulk Composition of Terrestrial Planets. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 273(1-2):48-57.https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.010
- Boynton, W. V., 1984. Cosmochemistry of the Rare Earth Elements: Meteorite Studies. *Developments in Geochemistry*, 2 (2): 63 - 114. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-42148-7.50008-3

- Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Jilin Province, 1988. Regional Geology of Jilin Province. Geological Publishing House, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Danyushevsky, L. V., Falloon, T. J., Sobolev, A. V., et al., 1993. The H<sub>2</sub>O Content of Basalt Glasses from Southwest Pacific Back-Arc Basins. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 117(3-4):347-362. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0012-821x(93)90089-r
- Dixon, J.E., Stolper, E., Delaney, J.R., 1988. Infrared Spectroscopic Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in Juan de Fuca Ridge Basaltic Glasses. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 90(1):87-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(88)90114-8
- Dixon, J. E., Clague, D. A., Wallace, P., et al., 1997. Volatiles in Alkalic Basalts form the North Arch Volcanic Field, Hawaii: Extensive Degassing of Deep Submarine-Erupted Alkalic Series Lavas. *Journal of Petrology*, 38(7):911-939. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/38.7.911
- Deng, J., Wang, Q. F., 2016. Gold Mineralization in China: Metallogenic Provinces, Deposit Types and Tectonic Framework. Gondwana Research, 36: 219 – 274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2015.10.003
- Deng, J. F., Mo, X. X., Zhao, H. L., et al., 1999. The Yanshanian Lithosphere-Asthenosphere Catastrophe and Metallogenic Environment in East China. *Mineral Deoisits*, 18(4):309-315 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Elhlou, S., Belousova, E., Griffin, W. L., et al., 2006. Trace Element and Isotopic Composition of GJ Red Ziron Standard by Laser Ablation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70 (18):A158.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.1383
- Griffin, W. L, Pearson, N. J., Belousova, E., et al., 2000. The Hf Isotope Composition of Cratonic Mantle: LAM-MC-ICPMS Analysis of Zircon Mmegacrysts in Kimberlites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 64 (1): 133 – 147. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(99)00343-9
- Hochstaedter, A. G., Gill, J. B., Kusakabe, M., et al., 1990. Volcanism in the Sumisu Rift, I. Major Element, Volatile, and Stable Isotope Geochemistry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 100(1-3):179-194.https://doi. org/10.1016/0012-821x(90)90184-y
- Hou, K, J., Li, Y, H., Zou, T, R., et al., 2007. Laser Ablation-MC-ICP-MS Technique for Hf Isotope Microanalysis of Zircon and Its Geological Applications. Acta Petroligica Sinica, 23 (10):2595-2604 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, B.L., Sun, Y.G., Cheng, G.J., et al., 2016.Ziron U-Pb Geochronolog, Geochemistry and Hf Composition and Its Geological Implication of the Fine-Grained Syenogranite in Dong'an Goldfield from the Lesser Xing'an

Mountains.*Earth Science*, 41(1): 1 - 16(in Chinese with English abstract).https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2016.001

- Li, D.J., Wan, Q.Y., Xu L.J., et al., 1997. Stratigraphy of Jilin Province. China University of Geosciences Press, Wuhan (in Chinese).
- Li, S.Z., Zhao, G.C., Santosh, M., et al., 2012. Paleoproterozoic Structural Evolution of the Southern Segment of the Jiao-Liao-Ji Belt, North China Craton. *Precambrian Research*, 200 – 203: 59 – 73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. precamres.2012.01.007
- Li, X. M., 2009. Metallogenesis and Mineral Prospecting Assessment of Borate Deposits in Eastern Liaoning-Southern Jilin Borate Ore Belt, Northeast China(Dissertation). Jilin University, Changchun (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li,Z.X.,Li,X.H.,2007.Formation of the 1 300-km-wide Intracontinental Orogen and Postorogenic Magmatic Province in Mesozoic South China: A Flat-Slab Subduction Model.Geology,35(2):179-182.https://doi.org/ 10.1130/g23193a.1
- Liu, J. L., Sun, F. Y., Zhang, Y. J., et al., 2016. Ziron U-Pb Geochronolog, Geochemistry and Hf Isotopes of Nankouqian Granitic Intrusion in Qingyuan Region, Liaoning Province. Earth Science, 41(1):55-66(in Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2016. 004
- Liu, S., Hu R.Z., Feng, C.X., et al., 2009. Zircon U-Pb Age, Hf Isotopic Compositions of Dapuchaihe Adakites in Eastern Jilin Province and Their Significance. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 25(12): 3153-3164 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, W.Z., 2014. Study on the Geological Features and Genetic Type of the Fuanpu Molybdenum Deposit, Jilin Province(Dissertation). Jilin University, Changchun (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, Y., Deng, J., Wang, Z. L., et al., 2014. Zircon U-Pb age, Lu-Hf Isotopes and Petrogeochemistry of the Monzogranites from Xincheng Gold Deposit, Northwestern Jiaodong Peninsula, China. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 30(9):2559-2573 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, Y.S., Hu, Z.C., Gao, S., et al., 2008. In Situ Analysis of Major and Trace Elements of Anhydrous Minerals by LA-ICP-MS without Applying an Internal Standard. *Chemical Geology*, 257 (1-2): 34-43. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.08.004
- Liu, Y.S., Gao, S., Hu, Z.C., et al., 2010.Continental and Oceanic Crust Recycling-Induced Melt-Peridotite Interac-

tions in the Trans-North China Orogen: U-Pb Dating, Hf Isotopes and Trace Elements in Zircons from Mantle Xenoliths. *Journal of Petrology*, 51(1-2):537-571. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egp082

- Ludwig, K.R., 2003. User's Manual for Isoplot 3.00: A Geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Execl. No. 4. Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication, Berkeley.
- Maruyama, S., Santosh, M., Zhao, P., 2007. Superplume, Supercontinent, and Post-Perovskite: Mantle Dynamics and Anti-Plate Tectonics on the Core Mantle Boundary. Condwana Research, 11(1-2):7-37.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2006.06.003
- Michael, P. J., 1988. The Concentration, Behavior and Storage of H<sub>2</sub>O in the Suboceanic Upper Mantle: Implications for Mantle Metasomatism. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 52(2):555-566.https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(88)90110-x
- Michael, P. J., 1995. Regionally Distinctive Sources of Depleted MORB: Evidence from Trace Element and H<sub>2</sub>O. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 131(3): 301 – 320.https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(95)00023-6
- Pei, F. P., 2008. Ziron U-Pb Chronology and Geochemis of Mesozonic Intrusive Rocks in Southern Liaoning and Jilin Provinces: Constraints on the Spatial-Temporal Extent of the North China Craton Destruction(Dissertation). Jilin University, Changchun (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Peng, Y.J., Chen, Y.J., 2007. Location of Structural Boundary between Ji-Hei Orogenic Zone and Kaiyuan-Shanchen Town Section of North China Platform. *Global Geology*, 26(1): 1-6(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Peng, Y.J., Qi, C.D., Zhou, X.D., et al., 2012. Transiton from Paleo-Asian Ocean Domain to Circum-Pacific Ocean Domain for the Ji-Hei Composite Orogenic Belt. Time Mark and Relationship to Global Tectonics. *Geology and Resources*, 21(3):261-265(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Qin, Y., Liang, Y. H., Hu, Z. C., et al., 2013. Geochemical Characteristics and Tectonic Significance of Jurassic Granites in Huanggoushan Area, South of Jilin, China. Journal of Chendu University of technology (Science & Technology Edition), 40(1): 97 - 105 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Rudnick, R. L., Fountain, D. M., 1995, Nature and Composition of the Continental Crust: A Lower Crustal Perspective. *Reviews of Geophysic*, 33(3):267-310. https:// doi.org/10.1029/95RG01302
- Sobolev, A.V., Chaussidon, M., 1996. H<sub>2</sub>O Concentrations in Primary Melts from Supra-Subduction Zones and Mid-Ocean Ridges: Implications for H<sub>2</sub>O Storage and Recy-

cling in the Mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 137(1): 45 - 55. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(95)00203-0

- Sui,Z.M., 2007. Ziron U-Pb Ages, Petrogenesis and Crustal Evolution of the Granites in Northeastern Part of the Great Xing'an Range (Dissertation). Jilin University, Changchun (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Sun, D.Y., SUZUKI, K., Wu, F.Y, et al., 2005. CHIME Dating and Its Application for Mesozoic Granites of Huanggoushan, Jilin Province. *Geochimica*, 34 (4): 305-314(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Sun, S. S., McDonough, W. F., 1989. Chemical and Isotopic Systematics of Oceanic Basalts: Implication for Mantle Composition and Processes. *Geological Society*, London, Special Publications, 42(1): 313 – 345. https://doi.org/10.1144/ gsl.sp.1989.042.01.19
- Sun, Y.J., Wang, Z.K., Wang, S., et al., 2007. The Discovery and Significance of the Badaogou Au Deposit, Changbai Area, Jilin Province. *Jilin Geology*, 26(2):1-5(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tang, J., Xu, W. L., Wang, F., 2016. Rock Associations and Their Spatial-Temporal Variations of the Early Mesozoic Igneous Rocks in the NE Asia: Constraints on the Initial Subduction Timing of the Paleo-Pacific Plate. Bulletin of Mineralogy, Petrology and Geochemistry, 35 (6):1181-1194(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tang, J., Xu, W.L., Wang, F., et al., 2011. Petrogenesis of Bimodal Vocanic Rocks from Maoershan Formation in Zhangguangcai Range: Evidence from Geochronology and Geochemistry. *Global Geology*, 30(4):508-520(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Taylor, S.R., McLennan, S.M., 1995. The Geochemical Evolution of the Continental Crust. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 33(2):241-265.https://doi.org/10.1029/95rg00262
- Vervoort, J.D., Patchett, P.J., Gehrels, G.E., et al., 1996. Constraints on Early Earth Differentiation from Hafnium and Neodymium Isotopes. *Nature*, 379 (6566): 624 – 627. https://doi.org/10.1038/379624a0
- Waston, E. B., Wark, D. A., Thomas, J. B., 2006. Crystallization Thermometers for Zircon and Rutile. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 151 (4): 413 - 433. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-006-0068-5
- Weaver, B. L., 1991. The Origin of Ocean Island Basalt End-Member Compositions: Trace Element and Isotopic Constraints. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 104 (2-4): 381-397.https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(91)90217-6
- Wu,F.Y., Yang, J.H., Wilde, S.A., et al., 2005.Geochronology, Petrogenesis and Tectonic Implications of Jurassic

Granites in the Liaodong Peninsula, NE China.*Chemical* Geology,221(1):127-156.https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemgeo.2005.04.010

- Wu, F.Y., Li, X.H., Zheng, Y.F., et al., 2007a. Lu-Hf Isotopic Systematics and Their Applications in Petrology. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 23(2):185-220(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu, F.Y., Li, X. H., Yang, J. H., et al., 2007b. Discussions on the Petrogenesis of Granites. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 23(6):1217-1238(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu, F.Y., Sun, D.Y., Ge, W.C., et al., 2011. Geochronology of the Phanerozoic Granitoids in Northeastern China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 41(1):1-30.https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2010.11.014
- Xia, Q.K., Liu, J., Liu, S.C., Kovács, I., et al., 2013. High Water Content in Mesozoic Primitive Basalts of the North China Craton and Implications for the Destruction of Cratonic Mantle Lithosphere. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 361: 85 - 97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2012.11.024
- Xu, M. J., Xu, W. L., Wang, F., et al., 2013. Geochronology and Geochemistry of the Early Jurassic Granitoids in the Central Lesser Xing'an Range, NE China and Its Tectonic Implications. *Acta Petrologica Sinica*, 29(2): 354-368(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, W.L., Ge, W.C., Pei, F.P., et al., 2008. Geochronological Frame of Mesozonic Volcanism in NE China and Its Significance. Bulletin of Mineralogy, Petrology and Geochemistry, 27 (Suppl.):286-287(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, W.L., Ji, W.Q., Pei, F.P., et al., 2009. Triassic Volcanism in Eastern Heilongjiang and Jilin Provinces, NE China: Chronology, Geochemistry, and Tectonic Implications. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 34(3): 392-402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2008.07.001
- Xu, Y.G., 2001. Thermo-Tectonic Destruction of the Archean Lithospheric Keel beneath the Sino-Korean Craton in China: Evidence, Timing and Mechanism. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part A: Solid Earth and Geodesy, 26(9-10):747-757. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s1464-1895(01)00124-7
- Yang, F.C., Song, Y.H., Hao, L.B., et al., 2015. Late Jurassic Shrimp U-Pb Age and Hf Isotopic Characterisics of Granite from the Sanjiazi Area in Liaodong and Their Geological Significance. Acta Geologica Sinica, 89(10): 1773-1782(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yang, J.H., Wu, F.Y., Wilde, S.A., 2003. A Review of the Geodynamic Setting of Large-Scale Late Mesozoic Gold Mineralization in the North China Craton: An Associa-

tion with Lithospheric Thinning. Ore Geology Reviews, 23(3-4): 125-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-1368(03)00033-7

- Yang, J.H., Chung, S.L., Wilde, S.A., et al., 2005. Petrogenesis of Post-Orogenic Syenites in the Sulu Orogenic Belt, East China: Geochronological, Geochemical and Nd-Sr Isotopic Evidence. *Chemical Geology*, 214(1):99-125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2004.08.053
- Yang, J. H., Wu, F. Y., Shao, J. A., et al., 2006. Constraints on the Timing of Uplift of the Yanshan Fold and Thrust Belt, North China. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 246(3-4):336-352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.04.029
- Yang, J. H., Wu, F. Y., Liu, X. M., et al., 2007. Petrogenesis and Geological Significance of the Jurassic Xiaoheishan Pluton in the Liaodong Peninsula, East China: In-Situ Zircon U-Pb Dating and Hf Isotopic Analysis. Buletin od Mineralogy, Petrology and Geochemistry, 26(1): 29-43(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yu,J.J., Wang, F., Xu, W.L., et al., 2012. Early Jurassic Mafic Magmatism in the Lesser Xing´an Zhangguangcai Range, NE China, and Its Tectonic Implications: Constrains from Zircon U-Pb Chronology and Geochemistry. *Lithos*, 142 - 143: 256 - 266. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.lithos.2012.03.016
- Zhang, C., 2014. The Mesozoic Tectonic Evolution of Yanbian Area in the Eastern Segment of Northern Margin of the North China Block (Dissertation). Jilin University, Changchun (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, J., 2011. A Geochemical Study of Mesozoic Magmatic Rocks in the Sulu Orogen (Dissertation). University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, J.F., Zhu, H.C., 2000. A Preliminary Study on the Genetic Types and Tectonic Detting of Granites in Yanbian Area. *Liaoning Geology*, 17(1):25-33(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, Q., Wang, Y., Li, C.D., et al., 2006. Granite Classification on the Basis of Sr and Yb Contents and Its Implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 22(9): 2249 - 2269 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, Q., Wang, Y.L., Jin, W.J., et al., 2008. Criteria for the Recognition of Pre-, Syn- and Post-Orogenic Granitic Rocks. *Geological Bulletin of China*, 27(1):1-4(in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, X.Z., Guo, Y., Zhou, J.B., et al., 2015. Late Paleozonic-Early Mesozoic Tectonic Evolution in the East Margin of the Jiamusi Massif, Eastern Northeastern China. Russian Journal of Pacific Geology, 9(1):1-10. https://

doi.org/10.1134/s181971401501008x

- Zhang, Y., Xing, S.W., Wang, Y., et al., 2014. Palaeoproterozoic Chibaisong Mafic Intrusion and Mineralization (Northeast China), the Oldest Cu-Ni Sulphide Deposit in China: Evidence from Re-Os Dating of Pyrrhotite. International Geology Review, 56 (14): 1783 - 1791. https://doi.org/10.1080/00206814.2014.956818
- Zhao, D.P., Maruyanma, S., Omori, S., 2007. Mantle Dynamics of Western Pacific and East Asia: Insight from Seismic Tomography and Mineral Physics. *Gondwana Research*, 11(1– 2):120–131.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2006.06.006
- Zhao, G. C., Lin, C., Wilde, S. A., et al., 2006. Implications Based on the First SHRIMP U-Pb Zircon Dating on Precambrian Granitoid Rocks in North Korea. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 251(15): 365-379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.021

#### 附中文参考文献

- 邓晋福,莫宣学,赵海玲,等,1999.中国东部燕山期岩石圈\_ 软流圈系统大灾变与成矿环境.矿床地质,18(4): 309-315.
- 侯可军,李延河,邹天人,等,2007.LA-MC-ICP-MS 锆石 Hf 同位素的分析方法及地质应用.岩石学报,23(10): 2595-2604.
- 吉林省地质矿产局,1988.吉林省区域地质志.北京:地质出版社.
- 李碧乐,孙永刚,陈广俊,等,2016.小兴安岭东安金矿区细粒 正长花岗岩 U-Pb 年龄、岩石地球化学、Hf 同位素组成 及地质意义.地球科学,41(1):1-16.https://doi.org/ 10.3799/dqkx.2016.001
- 李东津,万清友,许良久,等,1997.吉林省岩石地层.武汉:中 国地质大学出版社.
- 李雪梅,2009.辽东一吉南硼矿带硼矿成矿作用及成矿远景 评价(博士学位论文).长春:吉林大学.
- 刘燊,胡瑞忠,冯彩霞,等,2009.吉林东部大蒲柴河 adakites 锆石 U-Pb 年龄、Hf 同位素特征及其意义.岩石学报, 25(12):3153-3164.
- 刘金龙,孙丰月,张雅静,等,2016.辽宁省清原县南口前岩体 锆石 U-Pb 年代学、地球化学及 Hf 同位素.地球科学, 41(1):55-66.https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2016.004
- 刘万臻,2014.吉林省福安堡钼矿矿床地质特征及成因类型 研究(硕士学位论文).长春:吉林大学.
- 刘跃,邓军,王中亮,等,2014.胶西北新城金矿床二长花岗岩 岩石地球化学、锆石 U-Pb 年龄及 Lu-Hf 同位素组成. 岩石学报,30(9):2559-2573.
- 表福萍,2008.辽南一吉南中生代侵入岩锆石 U-Pb 年代学和 地球化学:对华北克拉通破坏时空范围的制约究(博士 学位论文).长春:吉林大学.

- 彭玉鲸,陈跃军,2007.吉黑造山带与华北地台开原一山城镇 段构造边界位置.世界地质,26(1):1-6.
- 彭玉鲸,齐成栋,周晓东,等,2012.吉黑复合造山带古亚洲洋 向滨太平洋构造域转换:时间标志与全球构造的联系. 地质与资源,21(3):261-265.
- 秦亚,梁一鸿,胡兆初,等,2013.吉林南部荒沟山地区侏罗纪 花岗岩地球化学特征及构造意义.成都理工大学学报 (自然科学版),40(1):97-105.
- 隋振民,2007.大兴安岭东北部花岗岩类锆石 U-Pb 年龄、岩 石成因及地壳演化(博士学位论文).长春:吉林大学.
- 孙德有,铃木和博,吴福元,等,2005.吉林省南部荒沟山地区 中生代花岗岩 CHIME 定年.地球化学,34(4): 305-314.
- 孙永杰,王正科,王速,等,2007.吉林长白八道沟金矿的发现 及意义.吉林地质,26(2):1-5.
- 唐杰,许文良,王枫,2016.东北亚早中生代火成岩组合的时 空变异:对古太平洋板块俯冲开始时间的制约.矿物岩 石地球化学通报,35(6):1181-1194.
- 唐杰,许文良,王枫,等,2011.张广才岭帽儿山组双峰式火山 岩成因:年代学与地球化学证据.世界地质,30(4): 508-520.
- 吴福元,李献华,郑永飞,等,2007a.Lu-Hf 同位素体系及其 岩石学应用.岩石学报,23(2):185-220.
- 吴福元,李献华,杨进辉,等,2007b.花岗岩成因研究的若干 问题.岩石学报,23(6):1217-1238.
- 许文良,葛文春,裴福萍,等,2008.东北地区中生代火山作用 的年代学格架及其构造意义.矿物岩石地球化学通报, 27(增刊):286-287.
- 徐美君,许文良,王枫,等,2013.小兴安岭中部早侏罗世花岗 质岩石的年代学与地球化学及其构造意义.岩石学报, 29(2):354-368.
- 杨凤超,宋运红,郝立波,等,2015.辽东三家子地区晚侏罗世 花岗岩 SHRIMP U-Pb 年龄、Hf 同位素特征及地质意 义.地质学报,89(10):1773-1782.
- 杨进辉,吴福元,柳小明,等,2007.辽东半岛小黑山岩体成因 及其地质意义:锆石 U-Pb 年龄和铪同位素证据.矿物 岩石地球化学通报,26(1):29-43.
- 张超,2014.华北板块北缘东段延边地区中生代构造演化(博 士学位论文).长春:吉林大学.
- 张炯飞,祝洪臣,2000.延边地区花岗岩的成因类型及其形成 的大地构造环境.辽宁地质,17(1):25-33.
- 张娟,2011.苏鲁造山带中生代岩浆岩地球化学研究(博士学 位论文).合肥:中国科学技术大学.
- 张旗, 王焰, 李承东, 等, 2006. 花岗岩的 Sr-Yb 分类及其地质 意义. 岩石学报, 22(9): 2249-2269.
- 张旗,王元龙,金惟俊,等,2008.造山前、造山和造山后花岗 岩的识别.地质通报,27(1):1-4.