https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2018.176



柴北缘西段晚古生代牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩 成因机制及地球动力学过程

钱 兵^{1,2},张照伟^{1,2},吕鹏瑞^{1,2},王亚磊^{1,2}

1.自然资源部岩浆作用成矿与找矿重点实验室,陕西西安 710054
 2.中国地质调查局西安地质调查中心,陕西西安 710054

摘要:牛鼻子梁地区首次发现的高镁闪长岩对于探讨柴北缘地区岩石圈地幔演化历史具有重要意义.为确定该类岩石成因及 地球动力学过程,对其开展矿物学、岩石主一微量元素分析、锆石 U-Pb 定年和 Hf 同位素分析工作.岩石地球化学特征显示, 岩石均为钙碱性岩石,具有富 Mg(Mg[#]=62~72)、Cr、Ni、LREE(LREE/HREE=2.84~4.61)值、低 FeO^T/MgO(0.70~1.12) 比值特征,属于高镁闪长岩;所有样品均表现出富集大离子亲石元素(Rb、Ba、Th、U、K)和 LREE,而相对亏损高场强元素 Nb、 Ta、Ti、P 和 HREE,与典型的"赞岐岩"地球化学特征一致;锆石 U-Pb 同位素年代学研究表明岩石形成时代为 388 Ma,为中泥 盆世岩浆作用的产物.锆石 Hf 同位素特征显示岩石 ε_{Hf}(t)均为正值(4.4~11.6),表明岩浆起源于亏损地幔.结合区域构造演 化过程认为,牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩是由早古生代(540~520 Ma)消减带流体交代地幔楔后的富集地幔经历晚古生代(400~ 388 Ma)岩石圈伸展作用部分熔融的产物.

Petrogenesis and Geodynamics Processes of Early Paleozoic Niubiziliang High-Mg Diorites in West Segment of North Qaidam, Qinghai

Qian Bing^{1,2}, Zhang Zhaowei^{1,2}, Lü Pengrui^{1,2}, Wang Yalei^{1,2}

1. Key Laboratory for the Study of Focused Magmatism and Giant Ore Deposits, Ministry of Natural Resources, Xi'an 710054, China 2. Xi'an Center of Geological Survey, China Geological Survey, Xi'an 710054, China

Abstract: The high-Mg diorites, newly discovered in Niubiziliang area, are important for discussing the evolution history of lithospheric mantle in North Qaidam, Qinghai Province. To determine the petrogenesis and geodynamic processes of these rocks, the major and trace element analysis, zircon U-Pb dating and Hf isotopic analysis have been carried out in this paper. The petrogeochemistry shows that the rocks are calc-alkaline rocks, and enriched in Mg (Mg[#] = 62 - 72), Cr, Ni and LREE (LREE/HREE=2.84-4.61) elements, with low FeO^T/MgO (0.70-1.12) ratios. They belong to high-magnesium diorite. All the rocks are enriched in large iron lithiphile elements (Rb, Ba, Th, U, K and LREE) and depleted in high strength field elements (Nb, Ta, Ti, P and HREE). Additionally, the diorite rocks have the same characteristics of geochemistry as typical sanukitoids. The mean U-Pb age of the zircons in these high-Mg diorites is 388 Ma, suggesting that the rocks were formed in Middle Triassic. The zircon Hf isotopes show that all the $\epsilon_{Hf}(t)(4.4-11.6)$ are positive, indicating the magma was originated from the depleted mantle. Combined with the regional tectonic evolution process, it is suggested that the mantle source of the Niubiz-

基金项目:国土资源部公益性行业科研专项项目(No.201511020);国家自然科学基金项目(Nos.41603050,41602094,41873053);陕西省自然科 学基础研究计划面上项目(No.2017JM4002);中国地质调查局"东昆仑铜镍多金属资源基地调查"二级项目(No.DD20160013). 作者简介:钱兵(1985-),男,助理研究员,主要从事岩浆作用与成矿研究工作.ORCID:0000-0001-6685-3303.E-mail:qianbin219@163.com

iliang high-Mg diorite was formed by the reaction of the slab-derived fluids with the overlying mantle peridotite in Early Paleozoic (540-520 Ma). In Late Paleozoic (400-388 Ma), partial melting of the enriched mantle might generate the studied high-Mg diorites due to lithospheric extension.

Key words: high-Mg diorite; petrogenesis; lithospheric mantle; Niubiziliang area; north Qaidam orogenic belt; geochemistry.

0 引言

高镁安山岩/闪长岩是汇聚板块边界岩石圈地幔 演化的重要岩石类型,因其兼具了地幔物质和地壳物 质的双重特征(富 MgO、Cr、Ni、LILE、高 Mg[#]和低 FeO^T/MgO),已成为研究汇聚板块边缘岩石圈地幔 的一个重要指示器(Kelemen, 1995; Yogodzinski et al., 1995; Tatsumi, 2001, 2003, 2006, 2008; Kelemen et al., 2003;张旗等, 2004, 2005; Kawabata and Shuto, 2005; Wood and Turner, 2009; 邓晋福等, 2010; Dai et al., 2017). 这类岩石包括 sanukitic HMA (赞岐岩)、adakitic HMA(高镁埃达克岩)、bajaitic HMA(bajaite 岩)和 boninitic HMA(玻安岩)4 种类型 (Kamei,2004),不同类型岩石主要受地幔源区不同交 代组分所控制(Tatsumi and Ishizaka, 1982; Shimoda et al., 1998; Tatsumi, 2001; Gao et al., 2004; Kamei, 2004).研究其成因机制对于揭示岩石圈地幔演化过 程具有重要意义(邓晋福等,2010),近年来已成为地 学界研究的一个新热点(Kamei,2004).

柴北缘造山带位于祁连地块与柴达木盆地之间 (图 1a),自 20 世纪 90 年代发现榴辉岩以来,一直 是地学界研究的热点地区之一.前人对区内构造演 化进行了大量的研究工作,发现在早古生代受南祁 连洋开合作用影响,区内经历了大洋俯冲一闭合、陆 内碰撞造山及造山后伸展过程(许志琴等,1994;杨 经绥等,2000;宋述光等,2009;夏林圻等,2016),在 区内形成了许多蛇绿岩、高压一超高压榴辉岩及超 基性或酸性岩浆岩.通过对这些岩石的研究,确定了 高压一超高压变质岩的岩石成因(许志琴等,1994; Zhang et al., 2005, 2006, 2008; 张贵宾等, 2005, 2012; Xu et al., 2006; Mattinson et al., 2007; 宋述 光等,2009;张聪等,2009;Yang et al.,2010;Song et al., 2014)、岩浆演化过程(王惠初等, 2003;吴才 来等,2004,2007;钱兵等,2017a)、区域构造演化时 空格架等(许志琴等,1994;杨经绥等,2000;宋述光 等,2009;夏林圻等,2016),却相对缺乏对于岩石圈 地幔性质及其深部演化过程的研究.鉴于此,本文在 该地区首次报道了高镁闪长岩,通过开展岩石学、地 球化学、锆石 U-Pb 年代学和 Hf 同位素地球化学研 究,讨论牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩岩石成因、岩石圈地幔 性质及地球动力学过程.最终提出,该地区高镁闪长 岩地幔源区是俯冲板片脱水所产生的流体在上升过 程中与地幔楔发生反应而成,高镁闪长岩记录了柴 北缘早古生代早期俯冲洋壳物质的再循环.

1 区域地质概况

柴北缘造山带总体呈 NW-SE 向展布(图 1a), 北西端被阿尔金断裂所截,东接青海南山断裂与武 山一天水一商丹断裂构造带相连(孙延贵等,2004). 柴北缘造山带由北向南可划分为宗务隆山晚古生 代一早中生代裂陷带、欧龙布鲁克微陆块和柴北缘 早古生代结合带 3 个构造单元(辛后田等,2002).北 部宗务隆山裂陷带沿宗务隆南缘断裂带由北向南逆 冲推覆至柴北缘欧龙布鲁克地块之上,由 C-P 岛弧 火山岩、弧后沉积一火山岩及蛇绿岩残片等构造岩 片组成,构成晚古生代蛇绿构造混杂岩带.中部欧龙 布鲁克微陆块沿乌兰一德令哈一欧龙布鲁克一全吉 山一达肯大坂山一线分布,具有古老地块双层结构 模式(郝国杰等,2004).南部构造单元沿都兰北部的 沙柳河一野马滩一锡铁山一绿梁山一鱼卡一赛什腾 山一线分布,是一条早古生代俯冲一碰撞杂岩带,由 含榴辉岩的花岗质片麻岩和早古生代滩间山群岛弧 火山岩组成(王惠初等,2006).

研究区位于柴北缘西段牛鼻子梁地区(图 1a), 大地构造位置处于柴达木造山带南部构造单元早古 生代俯冲一碰撞杂岩带的边缘.区内出露地层为古 元古代金水口岩群斜长片麻岩、斜长角闪岩、大理岩 和斜长石英片岩.区内构造以断裂构造为主,主要为 北东向、近东西向和北北东向三组,其中北东向断裂 对区内中一基性岩体的侵入具有重要控制作用.区 内出露侵入岩为高镁闪长岩体和镁铁一超镁铁质岩 体,高镁闪长岩体分布于研究区东南部,呈长条状, 出露面积约为8km².镁铁一超镁铁质岩体分布于高 镁闪长岩体东南部3km处(图 1b),由橄榄岩类、辉 石岩类和辉长岩组成,为晚古生代(402 Ma)幔源岩 浆作用的产物(钱兵等,2015,2017b).野外研究表 明,高镁闪长岩体与镁铁一超镁铁质岩体未见明显



图 1 柴北缘造山带区域大地构造图(a)及牛鼻子梁地区地质图(b)

Fig.1 Regional tectonic sketch of the north Qaidam orogenic belt (a) and geological map of Niubiziliang area (b)

接触关系,且两类侵入体空间分布、产出形态、岩石的结构构造、矿物组成等特征均存在较大差异,非同源岩浆演化的产物.

2 岩石学特征

本次研究的高镁闪长岩体平面形态呈长条状 (图 1b),长轴北西向,长约 6 km,最大宽度约



图 2 牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩显微照片 Fig.2 Micrographs illustrating minerals of Niubiziliang high-Mg diorites a.暗色闪长岩;b.辉长闪长岩;Pla.斜长石;Hbl.普通角闪石;Di.普通辉石

1.5 km.岩石呈黑灰色、灰色,中粗粒自形一半自形 粒状结构,块状构造.由于岩石中暗色矿物含量较 高,根据岩体中矿物的组成类型及含量特征,可分为 暗色闪长岩和辉长闪长岩两种岩石类型.其中暗色 闪长岩占岩体 90%以上,辉长闪长岩仅零星出露, 二者呈渐变过渡关系.

暗色闪长岩由斜长石(55%~72%)、角闪石 (35%~42%)和金属副矿物(1%~2%)组成(图 2a). 斜长石多呈长板状,少数呈板状,粒径一般为1.50~ 4.50 mm,普遍发育双晶,卡纳复合双晶法测得斜长石 牌号为42~48号,为中长石.角闪石种属为普通角闪 石,呈自形晶粒状,粒径为1.00~2.50 mm.金属矿物 主要为钛铁矿,呈叶片状或粒状,粒径大小为0.10~ 0.35 mm,常被榍石不同程度交代.

辉长闪长岩由斜长石(50%~70%)、辉石 (10%~22%)、角闪石(5%~10%)及金属副矿物 (2%~3%)组成(图 2b).辉石种属为单斜辉石,矿物 呈粒状,粒径大小为0.05~2.50 mm,辉石表面多发生 了不同强度的透闪石化、绿泥石化等蚀变作用.岩石 中斜长石、角闪石光学特征与暗色闪长岩中的矿物特 征相似,斜长石粒径约为1.50~4.00 mm,卡纳复合双 晶法测得斜长石牌号为44~48号,以中长石为主;角 闪石为普通角闪石,粒径约为1.00~2.00 mm.金属矿 物多呈不规则粒状,粒径大小为0.10~0.40 mm.

3 分析方法

岩石主量、微量、稀土元素分析在西安地质矿产研究所自然资源部岩浆作用成矿与找矿重点实验室完成,其中主量元素分析仪器为荷兰帕纳科公司Axios 4.0kw顺序式-X射线荧光光谱仪(XRF),分析精度优于5%;微量和稀土元素分析仪器为美国热电公司 Series II 型电感耦合等离子体质谱仪(ICP-MS),分析精度优于5%~10%.

锆石 CL 图像在西北大学大陆动力学国家重点 实验室电子探针仪加载的阴极发光仪上完成.锆石 LA-ICP-MS U-Pb 定年测试分析在中国地质科学院 矿产资源研究所自然资源部成矿作用与资源评价重 点实验室完成,定年分析仪器为 Finnigan Neptune 型 MC-ICP-MS 及与之配套的 Newwave UP 213 激 光剥蚀系统.激光剥蚀束斑直径为 25 μ m,以 He 为 载气.对锆石标准的定年精度和准确度在 1%(2 σ)左 右,锆石 U-Pb 定年以锆石 GJ-1 为外标,U、Th 含量 以锆石 M127(U:923×10⁻⁶; Th:439×10⁻⁶; Th/ U:0.475)(Sláma et al., 2008)为外标进行校正.数 据处理采用 ICPMSDataCal 程序(Liu et al., 2009), 锆石年龄及谐和图绘制采用 Isoplot 3.0 程序.详细 分析步骤和数据处理方法见侯可军等(2009).

锆石 Hf 同位素测试在中国地质科学院矿产资 源研究所自然资源部成矿作用与资源评价重点实验 室 Neptune 多接收等离子体质谱仪和 Newwave UP213 紫外激光剥蚀系统(LA-MC-ICP-MS)上进 行的,实验过程中采用 He 作为剥蚀物质载气,剥蚀 直径为 55 μ m,测定时使用锆石国际标样 GJ-1 作为 参考物质,分析点与 U-Pb 定年分析点为同一位置. 相关仪器运行参数及详细分析流程见侯可军等 (2007).分析过程中锆石标准 GJ-1 的¹⁷⁶ Hf/¹⁷⁷ Hf 测 试加权平均值为 0.281 993±15(2SD, n = 21),与文 献报道值(Elhlou *et al.*,2006;侯可军等,2007)在误 差范围内完全一致.

4 分析结果

4.1 锆石 LA-ICP-MS U-Pb 年龄

本次选取了牛鼻子梁暗色闪长岩(NB14-16)进行 了锆石年代学测定工作.锆石呈无色或棕色,自形一 半自形长柱状,粒度较大,粒径为 150~400 μ m,长宽 比为 2:1~4:1,具有明显的岩浆震荡生长环带(图 3)和高的 Th/U 比值(0.66~1.54)特征,为典型的岩 浆成因锆石.锆石在进行普通铅校正后,22 个有效数 据点的²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U 表观年龄为 382 ± 5 Ma~394 ± 4 Ma,加权平均年龄为 388 Ma,MSWD=0.89(图 3), 在²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U-²⁰⁷ Pb/²³⁵ U 谐和图上所有测点均落在谐 和线上或附近(图 3),表明该暗色闪长岩的结晶年龄 为 388 Ma,形成于中泥盆世.

4.2 岩石地球化学

牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩体中暗色闪长岩和辉长闪 长岩的主量元素质量分数相似(表 1).SiO₂ 含量为 51.99%~55.06%,属于玄武安山岩范畴.岩石具有 富 Mg(MgO=6.81%~12.45%,Mg[#]=62~72)、 富 Al(Al₂O₃=14.39%~18.51%)、富 Ca(CaO= 5.61%~10.22%)和较低的 FeO^T/MgO 比值 (0.70~1.12,平均值为 0.88)特征.样品的全碱含量 较高(K₂O+Na₂O=3.32%~6.00%),且具有明显 富 Na 的特征(Na₂O/K₂O=2.81~9.88,平均值为 4.88).SiO₂ = (Na₂O+K₂O)图解显示,岩石属于亚 碱性系列(图 4a),除 1 件样品属于二长闪长岩外, 其他均落入辉长闪长岩范围(图 4a);SiO₂ = K₂O 图



图 3 牛鼻子梁暗色闪长岩锆石阴极发光图像(含 ε_{Hf}(t)值及 U-Pb 年龄值)(a)和锆石 U-Pb 谐和图(b) Fig.3 Zircon CL for microbeam analyzed spots with ε_{Hf}(t) values and apparent U-Pb ages (a) and zircon U-Pb concordia diagram (b) of the Niubiziliang meiadiorite

表1 牛量千梁闪长岩体主量元素(%)、微量元素和稀土元素	:(10 ⁻ °)化学成分
------------------------------	---------------------	-------

Table 1 Compositions of major (%), trace and rare earth elements (10⁻⁶) of the Niubiziliang diorites

	暗色闪长岩				辉长闪长岩			
作品 -	NB14-17	NB14-18	NB14-19	NB14-20	NB1401-2	NB1401-34	NB1403-14	
SiO ₂	52.11	53.25	53.28	54.72	53.05	55.06	51.99	
${\rm TiO}_2$	0.82	0.79	1.59	0.83	0.82	1.35	0.88	
$\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	14.39	17.14	17.30	17.31	15.77	15.24	18.51	
Fe_2O_3	1.63	2.02	1.37	1.61	1.44	1.98	1.04	
FeO	7.36	6.50	6.36	5.72	6.00	5.80	4.97	
MnO	0.14	0.20	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.11	
MgO	12.45	8.02	6.81	7.11	10.37	8.67	8.39	
CaO	7.47	8.47	9.56	8.88	8.01	5.61	10.22	
Na_2O	2.72	2.67	2.87	2.90	3.14	4.86	3.34	
K_2O	0.70	0.66	0.61	0.63	1.12	1.15	0.34	
P_2O_5	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.11	
Total	99.91	99.81	99.97	99.94	99.90	99.95	99.92	
Mg #	72	63	62	64	72	67	72	
$\rm FeO^T$	8.82	8.32	7.60	7.16	7.29	7.58	5.91	
σ	1.28	1.08	1.18	1.07	1.80	2.99	1.50	
A.R.	1.37	1.30	1.30	1.31	1.44	1.81	1.29	
$K_2 O/Na_2 O$	0.26	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.36	0.24	0.10	
Na_2O/K_2O	3.88	4.05	4.70	4.58	2.81	4.23	9.88	
$Na_2O\!+\!K_2O$	3.42	3.32	3.48	3.54	4.25	6.00	3.67	
${\rm FeO^T/MgO}$	0.71	1.04	1.12	1.01	0.70	0.87	0.70	
A/NK	2.75	3.36	3.22	3.17	2.48	1.65	3.16	
A/CNK	0.77	0.84	0.76	0.80	0.75	0.78	0.76	
Cu	201.0	54.6	41.4	33.4	36.1	60.5	31.4	
Pb	11.30	18.00	7.19	6.34	10.20	7.32	10.60	
Zn	78.1	86.3	63.8	66.6	65.8	66.8	52.5	
Cr	728.0	262.0	127.0	67.3	577.0	307.0	499.0	
Ni	1 190.0	111.0	43.4	48.2	185.0	142.0	159.0	
Со	105.0	52.8	37.3	33.3	37.3	43.6	36.7	
Rb	26.9	24.0	20.8	20.0	56.2	52.4	10.7	
Cs	1.64	1.74	2.08	1.72	13.40	1.90	1.10	

续表 1

样品 _	暗色闪长岩				辉长闪长岩			
作前 一	NB14-17	NB14-18	NB14-19	NB14-20	NB1401-2	NB1401-34	NB1403-14	
Sr	217	245	274	259	274	412	336	
Ba	126	134	136	129	298	402	91	
V	159	171	254	194	140	179	140	
Sc	29.9	31.0	36.9	32.5	30.4	23.9	16.4	
Nb	3.37	3.85	3.28	3.22	4.38	7.97	3.49	
Ta	0.30	0.34	0.26	0.27	0.35	0.62	0.29	
Zr	105.0	79.5	78.7	84.2	63.0	114.0	66.6	
Hf	2.80	2.40	2.23	2.43	1.83	3.24	1.84	
Ga	14.7	17.4	16.5	16.9	14.0	15.3	16.5	
U	1.26	0.88	0.60	0.47	0.52	0.88	0.24	
Th	2.62	2.95	1.50	2.02	1.44	3.07	0.76	
La	8.79	8.48	6.64	8.27	5.76	11.20	5.12	
Ce	20.40	20.50	16.20	19.20	14.00	24.40	12.40	
Pr	2.75	2.69	2.25	2.60	1.95	3.05	1.83	
Nd	11.60	11.60	10.00	11.00	8.69	12.40	8.54	
Sm	3.21	3.04	2.60	3.15	2.36	3.06	2.60	
Eu	1.01	1.06	1.03	1.05	0.91	0.95	1.02	
Gd	3.54	3.38	3.24	3.42	2.33	3.26	3.02	
Tb	0.58	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.47	0.53	0.55	
Dy	3.68	3.46	3.52	3.45	2.95	3.23	3.12	
Ho	0.78	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.61	0.70	0.63	
Er	2.11	2.07	2.07	2.06	1.63	1.89	1.74	
Tm	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.24	0.28	0.25	
Yb	1.98	1.98	1.96	1.98	1.43	1.77	1.56	
Lu	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.20	0.28	0.23	
Y	18.40	17.20	17.20	17.80	14.10	16.20	14.40	
ΣREE	61.03	60.12	51.38	58.06	43.53	67.00	42.61	
LREE	47.76	47.37	38.72	45.27	33.67	55.06	31.51	
HREE	13.27	12.75	12.66	12.79	9.86	11.94	11.10	
REE/HREE	3.60	3.72	3.06	3.54	3.41	4.61	2.84	
(La/Yb) _N	3.18	3.07	2.43	3.00	2.89	4.54	2.35	
δEu	0.91	1.01	1.08	0.97	1.17	0.91	1.11	
Sr/Y	11.79	14.24	15.93	14.55	19.43	25.43	23.33	
Th/U	2.08	3.35	2.50	4.30	2.77	3.49	3.17	
Th/La	0.30	0.35	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.27	0.15	
Nb/Ta	11	11	13	12	13	13	12	
Zr/Hf	38	33	35	35	34	35	36	

注:(1)Mg[#] = (MgO/40)/[(MgO/40)+(FeO+0.099 8Fe₂O₃)/72](%);(2)组合指数 σ=(Na₂O+K₂O)2/(SiO₂-43)(%);(3)A.R. = (Al₂O₃+CaO+Na₂O+K₂O)/(Al₂O₃+CaO-Na₂O-K₂O);(4)A/NK=[Al₂O₃/(Na₂O+K₂O)](mol);(5)A/CNK=[Al₂O₃/(CaO+Na₂O+K₂O)](mol);(6)\deltaEu=Eu_N/(Sm_N×Gd_N)^{1/2}.

解中,样品均落入钙碱性岩石系列区域内及附近(图 4b);在SiO₂-MgO判别图解中,所有样品均位于 埃达克质岩区上方(图4c);在SiO₂-Mg^{*}判别图解 中样品靠近地幔熔体附近(图4d).

岩体具有较低的稀土总量($\Sigma REE = 42.61 \times 10^{-6} \sim 67.00 \times 10^{-6}$),且轻稀土含量大于重稀土含量($LREE/HREE = 2.84 \sim 4.61$).在稀土元素球粒陨石标准化分布型式图上,暗色闪长岩和辉长闪长岩具有相似的曲线分布特征(图 5a),均呈现 LREE 略富集的特征((La/Yb)_N = 2.35 ~ 4.54).未见明显 Eu

异常特征(δEu=0.91~1.17,平均值为 1.02),反映 岩浆在演化早期没有经历斜长石的分离结晶作用. 暗色闪长岩和辉长闪长岩呈现一致的稀土元素球粒 陨石标准化配分曲线,表明两者可能来自相似源区 并经历了相同的成岩过程.

微量元素特征显示,岩石具有高 Cr(67.3× 10⁻⁶~728.0×10⁻⁶,平均值为 367×10⁻⁶)、高 Ni (43.4×10⁻⁶~1 190.0×10⁻⁶,平均值为 268× 10⁻⁶)、Co(33.3×10⁻⁶~105.0×10⁻⁶,平均值为 49.4×10⁻⁶)特征;Nb/Ta比值为11~13,明显低于





Fig.4 Discrimination diagrams of rock series of Niubiziliang diorites in the north Qaidam orogenic belt a.SiO₂-(Na₂O+K₂O)图解(碱性和亚碱性分类引自 Irvine and Baragar(1971));b.SiO₂-K₂O 图解(Peccerillo and Taylor,1976);c.SiO₂-MgO 图解(Defant and Drumond,1990);d.SiO₂-Mg[#] 图解(Rapp *et al.*,1999; Wang *et al.*,2005)





表 2 牛鼻子梁暗色闪长岩锆石 Hf 同位素分析结果

Table 2 Zircon Hf isotope analytical data of meiadiorites in Niubiziliang intrusion

样号	T(Ma)	$^{176}Yb/^{177}Hf$	2σ	¹⁷⁶ Lu/ ¹⁷⁷ H	f 2σ	$^{176}{ m Hf}/^{177}{ m Hf}$	2σ
NB14-16-1	388	0.039 833	0.001 540	0.001 658	0.000 061	0.282 752	0.000 021
NB14-16-2	388	0.053 587	0.002 485	0.002 133	0.000 093	0.282 741	0.000 023
NB14-16-3	388	0.089 712	0.000455	0.003 592	0.000 021	0.282 752	0.000 020
NB14-16-4	388	0.094 256	0.000 883	0.003 864	0.000 034	0.282 684	0.000 025
NB14-16-5	388	0.071 979	0.001 037	0.002 639	0.000 039	0.282 765	0.000 022
NB14-16-6	388	0.098 233	0.000 266	0.003 459	0.000 010	0.282 775	0.000 022
NB14-16-7	388	0.032 476	0.000 276	0.001 209	0.000 007	0.282 802	0.000 023
NB14-16-8	388	0.033 704	0.000665	0.001 190	0.0000 23	0.282 793	0.000 027
NB14-16-9	388	0.111 124	0.005 473	0.004 385	0.000 209	0.282 891	0.000 023
NB14-16-10	388	0.070 161	0.000 157	0.002 604	0.000 009	0.282 765	0.000 021
NB14-16-11	388	0.045 195	0.000 792	0.001 923	0.000 032	0.282 756	0.000 022
NB14-16-12	388	0.088 623	0.000 589	0.003 421	0.000 027	0.282 747	0.000 023
NB14-16-13	388	0.061 798	0.002 234	0.001 919	0.000 062	0.282 732	0.000 028
NB14-16-14	388	0.053 858	0.001 368	0.002 333	0.000 056	0.282 782	0.000 023
NB14-16-15	388	0.043 087	0.000 794	0.001 823	0.000 032	0.282 736	0.000 019
NB14-16-16	388	0.137 847	0.000 169	0.005 763	0.000 007	0.282 769	0.000 025
NB14-16-17	388	0.045 137	0.000 474	0.001 913	0.000 019	0.282 700	0.000 021
NB14-16-18	388	0.081 289	0.001 168	0.003 344	0.000 044	0.282 768	0.000 023
NB14-16-19	388	0.119 048	0.000 616	0.004 691	0.000 020	0.282 793	0.000 024
NB14-16-20	388	0.076 640	0.000 119	0.003 255	0.000 008	0.282 722	0.000 025
NB14-16-21	388	0.063 720	0.000 431	0.002 595	0.000 019	0.282 822	0.000 018
NB14-16-22	388	0.048 129	0.000 609	0.001 931	0.000 016	0.282 764	0.000 023
样号	$(^{176}{ m Hf}/^{1})$	77 Hf) _i ϵ_{Hf}	(0)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$	t _{DM1} (Ma)	$t_{\rm DM2}({ m Ma})$	$f_{\rm Lu/Hf}$
样号 NB14-16-1	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282	$\frac{77 \text{ Hf}}{740} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}}{-6}$	0.7	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ in 7.4	t _{DM1} (Ma) 721	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128	f _{Lu/Hf} -0.95
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{ccc} ^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{\mathrm{i}} & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -6 \\ 726 & -7 \end{array}$	(0) 0.7 1.1	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ if 7.4 6.9	t _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175	f _{Lu/Hf} -0.95 -0.94
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{ccc} ^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{i} & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -6 \\ 726 & -6 \\ 726 & -6 \end{array}$	(0) 0.7 1.1 0.7	$\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 7.4 6.9 6.9	r _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761	<i>t</i> _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174	$f_{Lu/Hf}$ -0.95 -0.94 -0.89
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{ccc} 7^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{i} & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -6 \\ 726 & -7 \\ 726 & -6 \\ 656 & -3 \end{array}$	(0) 0.7 1.1 0.7 3.1	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ a 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4	t _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396	$f_{Lu/Hf}$ -0.95 -0.94 -0.89 -0.88
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{cccc} 7^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{i} & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -6 \\ 726 & -6 \\ 726 & -6 \\ 656 & -3 \\ 746 & -6 \end{array}$	(0) 0.7 1.1 0.7 3.1 0.2	$\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 4 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6	r _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110	
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{ccc} 7^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{i} & \mathfrak{e}_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 746 & -0 \\ 750 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	(0) 0.7 1.1 0.7 3.1 0.2 1	$\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 1 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7	z _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098	
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{cccc} 7^{77} \mathrm{Hf})_{i} & \mathfrak{e}_{\mathrm{Hf}} \\ 740 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 656 & -1 \\ 746 & -0 \\ 750 & 0 \\ 793 & 1 \\ \end{array}$	 (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ t 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3	z _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8	(¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹ 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{cccc} & \mathbf{r}^{77} \mathrm{Hf} \mathbf{j}_{ \mathrm{i}} & \mathbf{e}_{ \mathrm{Hf}} \\ & 740 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 726 & -0 \\ 6556 & -1 \\ 746 & -0 \\ 746 & -0 \\ 750 & 0 \\ 793 & 1 \\ 784 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	 (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (7) 	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ t 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654	t _{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-9	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563	$t_{DM2}(Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.87 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1,1) <li< td=""><td>$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6</td><td>r _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721</td><td>$t_{DM2} (Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.87 \\ -0.92 \end{array}$</td></li<>	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6	r _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721	$t_{DM2} (Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.87 \\ -0.92 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (0) (1,1) (0,7) (3,1) (0,2) (1,1) (0,2) (1,1) (0,2) (1,1) ($\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6 7.5	r _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721	$t_{DM2} (Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.87 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (7)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6 7.5 6.8	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 721 765	$t_{DM2}(Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12 NB14-16-13	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>(0) 0.7 1.1 0.7 3.1 0.2 1.0 0 7 2 0.2 0.6 0.9 1.4</pre>	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ t 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 721 765 756	$t_{DM2}(Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.97 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-14	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691	$t_{DM2}(Ma)$ 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.93 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-13	(176 Hf/1 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8	2 _{DM1} (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183	$ \begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \end{array} $
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-16	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(0) (0) (1)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9	<pre>P_DM1 (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785</pre>	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \end{array}$
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-17	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>(0)</pre>	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9 5.5	$r_{\rm DM1} (Ma)$ 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785 802	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172 1 301	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.97 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.94 \end{array}$
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-17 NB14-16-18	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>(0)</pre>	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9 5.5 7.5	<pre>P_DM1 (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785 802 733</pre>	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172 1 301 1 118	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.97 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ \end{array}$
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-6 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-9 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-16 NB14-16-17 NB14-16-18 NB14-16-19	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>(0)</pre>	$\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9 5.5 7.5 8.1	<pre>PDM1 (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785 802 733 722</pre>	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172 1 301 1 118 1 069	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.86 \end{array}$
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-12 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-17 NB14-16-18 NB14-16-19 NB14-16-20	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1)	$\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9 5.5 7.5 8.1 5.9	<pre>PDM1 (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785 802 733 722 800</pre>	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172 1 301 1 118 1 069 1 263	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lu/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.86 \\ -0.90 \end{array}$
样号 NB14-16-1 NB14-16-2 NB14-16-3 NB14-16-4 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-5 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-7 NB14-16-8 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-10 NB14-16-11 NB14-16-13 NB14-16-15 NB14-16-16 NB14-16-17 NB14-16-18 NB14-16-19 NB14-16-20 NB14-16-21	(176 Hf/1 0.282	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7)	$\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 2 7.4 6.9 6.9 4.4 7.6 7.7 9.3 9.0 11.6 7.6 7.5 6.8 6.6 8.3 6.8 6.9 5.5 7.5 8.1 5.9 9.6	<pre>PDM1 (Ma) 721 747 761 871 722 724 643 654 563 721 721 765 756 691 748 785 802 733 722 800 637</pre>	t_{DM2} (Ma) 1 128 1 175 1 174 1 396 1 110 1 098 960 987 748 1 109 1 123 1 186 1 199 1 048 1 183 1 172 1 301 1 118 1 069 1 263 928	$\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm Lw/Hf} \\ \hline -0.95 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.89 \\ -0.88 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.96 \\ -0.97 \\ -0.92 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.93 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.95 \\ -0.83 \\ -0.94 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.86 \\ -0.90 \\ -0.92 \\ \end{array}$

原始地幔(Nb/Ta = 36, Sun and MeDonough, 1989);Zr/Hf比值为33~38,平均值为35,接近原 始地幔(Zr/Hf=36,Sun and MeDonough,1989).在 微量元素原始地幔标准化蛛网图上,所有样品均表 现出富集大离子亲石元素(Rb、Ba、Th、U、K)和 LREE,而相对亏损高场强元素 Nb、Ta、Ti、P 和 HREE(图 5b),这与岛弧成因的岩浆岩地球化学特 征一致(Wilson,1989).暗色辉长岩和辉长闪长岩具 有相似的元素分布特征(图 5b),暗示两者为同源岩 浆演化而成.





图 6 牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩锆石 $\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)(a)$ 和一阶段模式年龄($t_{\rm DM1}$)(b)频数分布图 Fig.6 Histograms of zircon $\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ value (a) and $t_{\rm DM1}$ value (b) from the Niubiziliang high-Mg diorites

4.3 锆石 Hf 同位素组成

在锆石 LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb 定年基础上,本 文选取紧邻锆石年龄分析点部位进行微区 Lu-Hf 同位素分析,结果见表 2. 暗色闪长岩样品 (NB14-16)锆石¹⁷⁶Lu/¹⁷⁷Hf 比值大部分小于 0.002, 显示锆石在形成以后具有较低成因 Hf 的积累.测试 出的¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf值为 0.282 684~0.282 891,平均值 为0.282 763,较均一;用相应测试点年龄计算, $\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 值均为正值(图 6a),变化范围为 4.4~11.6, 平均值为 7.4;单阶段模式年龄 $t_{\rm DMI}$ 变化范围为 563~871 Ma,平均值为 734 Ma(图 6b).

5 讨论

5.1 岩石成因

大量研究表明,高镁安山岩/闪长岩的形成机制 有以下4种:(1)拆沉下地壳熔融的熔体与地幔橄榄 岩反应而成(Gao et al.,2004;许文良等,2006, 2009; Xu et al.,2010);(2)受交代的富集地幔部分 熔融而成,富集组分可能来源于消减带的流体(Tatsumi and Ishizaka,1982; Stern and Hanson,1991; Smithies,2000);(3)由俯冲洋壳玄武质组分的板片 熔体与地幔楔反应而成(Rapp et al.,1999; Yogodzinski et al.,2001; Kamei,2004);(4)由俯冲沉 积物熔融的熔体与地幔楔反应而成(Shimoda et al.,1998; Tatsumi,2001; Tatsumi et al.,2001). 以上研究表明,高镁闪长质岩浆的源区均来源于地 幔源区,不同的成因机制主要受不同交代组分控制.

在加厚地壳拆沉环境下,拆沉下地壳熔融的熔 体与地幔橄榄岩反应的岩浆岩通常表现出下地壳熔 融源区的同位素组成和石榴石为残留相的主要特征 (Gao et al.,2004; Xu et al.,2010),这类岩石通常 表现出高 Sr、低 Y、Yb、高 Sr/Y、无水、轻重稀土分 馏明显且重稀土亏损严重等特征(Gao et al., 2004).牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩属于钙碱性岩石,具有 非常低的 Sr 值(217×10⁻⁶~412×10⁻⁶,平均值为 288×10⁻⁶),相对较低的 Sr/Y(12~25,平均值为 18)和 La/Yb 比值(3~6),相对较高的 Y 值(14.1× 10^{-6} ~18.4×10⁻⁶)和 Yb 值(1.43×10⁻⁶~1.98× 10^{-6}),暗示岩浆源区不存在石榴子石残留相;且其 轻重稀土的分馏程度((La/Yb)_N=2~5)也明显弱 于华北地区典型拆沉背景下形成的高镁闪长岩 ((La/Yb)_N=11~21)(Gao et al.,2004),其与拆沉 作用形成的高镁闪长岩在地球化学特征上存在明显 差别.

在俯冲消减环境下,交代组分主要来自消减带 流体、俯冲洋壳玄武质组分的板片熔体和沉积物熔 融的熔体.岛弧岩浆岩成因研究表明,较高的 Ba/La 比值能够反映岛弧岩浆形成过程中是否有消减带流 体的加入,而Th/Yb 比值是反映消减沉积物或其形 成的熔体加入程度的指示剂(Woodhead et al.,2001).受交代的富集地幔部分熔融而成的岩浆岩, 具有高 SiO₂ (>52%)、MgO(>8%)和非常低的 TiO₂(<0.5%)的元素特征:由俯冲洋壳玄武质组分 的板片熔体与地幔楔反应产生的岩浆具有非常高的 $Sr(>1000\times10^{-6})$ 、Ba(>1000×10^{-6}) 值和高的 K/Rb 比值(>1 000)、富水等特征(Kelemen, 1995);由俯冲沉积物熔融的熔体与地幔楔反应而成 的岩浆,以ε_н值的降低和高的 La/Nb、Ba/La 比值 为特征(Huyu et al., 2006).牛鼻子梁闪长岩以低 Sr $(217 \times 10^{-6} \sim 412 \times 10^{-6})$, Ba $(91 \times 10^{-6} \sim 402 \times 10^{-6})$ 10⁻⁶)及低的K/Rb(182~263)比值为特征,表明其

不太可能由俯冲板片熔体与地幔楔反应而成.较低的 Th/Yb(0.5~1.7)、La/Nb(1~3)比值和高ε_н值显示普通沉积物对岩浆成分的贡献很小,相对高Ba/La(14~52)值和富集 LILE 特征暗示流体作为来自消减带板块的主要端元组分可能参与了成岩过程.岩石未见明显 Eu 异常特征,这也可能与岩浆大量富水抑制了斜长石分离结晶有关(Yuan *et al.*, 2008;尹继元等,2012).总体上看,柴北缘造山带西段牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩表现出富集 LILE、LREE、亏损 HFSE、亏损锆石 Hf 同位素特征,其地幔源区可能由俯冲板片脱水所产生的流体在上升过程中与地幔楔发生反应而成.

5.2 地球动力学过程

牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩表现出富集大离子亲石元 素(Rb、Ba、Th、U、K)和 LREE 特征.锆石 Hf 同位 素特征显示,岩石 ε_{Hf}(t)均为正值(4.4~11.6),表明 岩浆起源于亏损地幔.岩石富集大离子亲石元素可 能与流体主要携带大离子亲石元素有关,由于 Hf 为高场强元素,几乎没有进入地幔,地幔源区的 Hf 同位素没有受到板片流体的改造,所以继承了亏损 地幔的性质.

柴北缘造山带自发现高压一超高压变质矿物 (柯石英、金刚石等)以来,一直是地学界研究的热点 地区之一.大批研究学者对造山带内鱼卡一大柴 旦一锡铁山一都兰地区所发育的柴北缘高压一超高 压变质岩和蛇绿岩残片进行了详细的厘定(许志琴 等,1994;Yang et al.,2010;张贵宾等,2005,2012; Xu et al.,2006;Zhang et al.,2006;宋述光等,2009; Song et al.,2014),认为区内受超高压变质作用的 蛇绿岩岩块(原岩结晶年龄为550~500 Ma)代表了 大陆俯冲之前先期俯冲的南祁连洋盆的残骸 (Zhang et al.,2005,2008;张贵宾等,2005;Mattin-

son et al., 2007; 张聪等, 2009), 高压一超高压变质 岩则代表了被折返保存下来的早期俯冲洋壳(476~ 445 Ma)(Zhang et al., 2005, 2008)和晚期俯冲陆壳 (440~421 Ma)(Zhang et al., 2005; 张聪等, 2009; 查显峰等,2016)的产物.结合区内发育的火山岩和 花岗岩的研究,目前比较统一的观点认为:柴北缘地 区大洋俯冲和弧岩浆作用的发生时间为 540~ 520 Ma(王惠初等, 2003; 吴才来等, 2007; 夏林圻 等,2016),岛弧和弧后盆地的发育时间为 520~ 445 Ma(夏林圻等,2016),洋盆闭合和大陆俯冲发 生时间为 440~421 Ma(Song et al., 2005, 2014; Zhang et al., 2005, 2008; 陈丹玲等, 2007, 2008; Mattinson et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011, 2012; 夏林圻等, 2016), 高压变质洋片 折返和超高压变质陆壳折返时间为 423~400 Ma (Zhang et al., 2005, 2008; 张聪等, 2009). 在约 400 Ma之后,由于连续的岩石圈伸展和拆沉,区域 内发生地壳熔融和强烈的岩浆活动,形成许多造山 后花岗质侵入岩(吴才来等,2004,2007).本次研究 的牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩形成于 388 Ma,处于造山后 伸展环境.

Wilson(1989)研究指出:洋壳俯冲过程中,随着 温度、压力的增加,洋壳的玄武质组分会发生进化变 质反应,经绿片岩相和角闪岩相转变成榴辉岩相;在 这种进化变质过程中,初始的含水矿物组合经脱水反 应释放出独立的流体相 H₂O;随着俯冲深度的增大, 榴辉岩进一步发生含水部分熔融,产生富 H₂O 的中 性和酸性部分熔融体.牛鼻子梁岩体地幔源区的交代 流体就是在这个过程中形成的.结合前述区域构造演 化规律,牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩形成过程如下:早古生 代时期(540~520 Ma),柴北缘地区由于受祁连洋俯 冲作用影响,洋壳玄武质组分在俯冲过程中由于发生



图 7 柴北缘牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩形成模式

Fig.7 Schematic illustration showing the petrogenesis of the Niubiziliang high-Mg diorites from the north Qaidam orogenic belt

进化变质反应,产生了大量的含 H₂O 流体,这些携带 大量亲石元素(Rb、Ba、Th、U、K、LREE 等)的流体在 上升过程中与地幔楔发生反应形成富集地幔源区;在 约 400 Ma 时,区域造山作用结束,由于连续的岩石圈 伸展,区内处于大规模张性环境,富集地幔减压发生 部分熔融形成高镁闪长质岩浆;在 388 Ma 时,这些岩 浆沿构造薄弱部位上升侵入于地壳浅部,形成牛鼻子 梁高镁闪长岩体(图 7).

6 结论

(1)牛鼻子梁高镁闪长岩体由暗色闪长岩和辉 长闪长岩组成.岩石均具有富 Mg、Cr、Ni、LREE、高 Mg[#]和低 FeO^T/MgO 比值地球化学特征,属于高 镁闪长岩.

(2) 锆石 LA-ICPMS U-Pb 同位素年代学研究 表明岩石形成时代为 388±2 Ma,属于中泥盆世岩 浆作用的产物.锆石 Hf 同位素特征显示岩石 $\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 均为正值(4.5~11.6),表明岩浆起源于亏损地幔.

(3)岩石表现出富集 LILE、LREE、亏损 HFSE、亏损锆石 Hf 同位素特征,其地幔源区可能 由俯冲板片脱水所产生的流体在上升过程中与地幔 楔发生反应而成.

(4)牛鼻子梁高镁闪长质岩浆是由早古生代 (540~520 Ma)消减带流体交代地幔楔后的富集地 幔经历晚古生代(400~388 Ma)岩石圈伸展作用部 分熔融的产物.

致谢:野外工作得到了青海省核工业地质局第二 地质大队的野外一线工作人员刘会文高级工程师、邵 继工程师、王永刚工程师等人的大力协助,样品测试 和数据处理过程中得到了中国地质调查局西安地质 调查中心计文化研究员、高晓峰副研究员、叶芳研究 员、赵慧博工程师、李艳广工程师、汪双双工程师的帮 助,文稿审稿过程中得到了编辑部及审稿专家提出了 宝贵的修改意见,在此一并表示衷心的感谢.

References

- Chen, D.L., Sun, Y., Liu, L., 2007. The Metamorphic Ages of the Country Rock of the Yukahe Eclogites in the North Qaidam and Its Geological Significance. *Earth Science Frontiers*, 14(1):108-116 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chen, D. L., Sun, Y., Liu, L., 2008. Zircon U-Pb Dating of Paragneiss Interbed in the UHP Eclogite from Yematan Area, the North Qaidam UHP Terrane, NW China. Acta

Petrologica Sinica, 24 (5): 1059 - 1067 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Dai, L.Q., Zhao, Z.F., Zheng, Y.F., et al., 2017. Geochemical Distinction between Carbonate and Silicate Metasomatism in Generating the Mantle Sources of Alkali Basalts. *Journal of Petrology*, 58(5):863-884. https:// doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egx038
- Defant, M. J., Drummond, M. S., 1990. Derivation of Some Modern Arc Magmas by Melting of Young Subducted Lithosphere. Nature, 347(6294): 662-665.https://doi. org/10.1038/347662a0
- Deng, J.F., Liu, C., Feng, Y.F., et al., 2010. High Magnesian Andesitic/Dioritic Rocks(HMA) and Magnesian Andesitic/Dioritic Rocks(MA): Two Igneous Rock Types Related to Oceanic Subduction. *Geology in China*, 37 (4):1112-1118 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Elhlou, S., Belousova, E., Griffin, W.L., et al., 2006. Trace Element and Isotopic Composition of GJ-Red Zircon Standard by Laser Ablation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70(18): A158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca. 2006.06.1383
- Gao, S., Rudnick, R. L., Yuan, H. L., et al., 2004. Recycling Lower Continental Crust in the North China Craton. *Nature*, 432 (7019): 892 - 897. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature03162
- Hao, G. J., Lu, S. N., Wang, H. C., et al., 2004. The Pre-Devonian Tectonic Framework in the Northern Margin of Qaidam Basin and Geological Evolution of Olongbuluck Palaeo-Block. *Earth Science Frontiers*, 11 (3): 115-122 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hou, K. J., Li, Y. H., Tian, Y. R., 2009. In Situ U-Pb Zircon Dating Using Laser Ablation-Multi Ion Counting-ICP-MS. Mineral Deposits, 28 (4): 481 - 492 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hou, K.J., Li, Y. H., Zou, T. R., et al., 2007. Laser Ablation-MC-ICP-MS Technique for Hf Isotope Microanalysis of Zircon and Its Geological Applications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 23 (10):2595-2604 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Huyu, T., Tatsumi, Y., Nakai, S., et al., 2006.Contribution of Slab Melting and Slab Dehydration to Magmatism in the Japanese Arc. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70:229-229.
- Irvine, T.N., Baragar, W.R.A., 1971. A Guide to the Chemical Classification of the Common Volcanic Rocks. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 8(5): 523-548. https:// doi.org/10.1139/e71-055
- Kamei, A., 2004. High-Mg Diorites Derived from Sanukitic HMA Magmas, Kyushu Island, Southwest Japan Arc:

Evidence from Clinopyroxene and Whole Rock Compositions. *Lithos*, 75(3-4):359-371. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.lithos.2004.03.006

- Kawabata, H., Shuto, K., 2005. Magma Mixing Recorded in Intermediate Rocks Associated with High-Mg Andesites from the Setouchi Volcanic Belt, Japan: Implications for Archean TTG Formation. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 140(4): 241-271. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2004.08.013
- Kelemen, P.B., 1995. Genesis of High Mg[#] Andesites and the Continental Crust. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 120 (1): 1 - 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s004100050054
- Kelemen, P.B., Hanghoj, K., Greene, A.R., 2003. One View of the Geochemistry of Subduction-Related Magmatic Arcs, with an Emphasis on Primitive Andesite and Lower Crust. *Treatise on Geochemistry*, 749 - 805. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-08-095975-7.00323-5
- Liu, Y., Gao, S., Hu, Z., et al., 2009. Continental and Oceanic Crust Recycling-Induced Melt-Peridotite Interactions in the Trans-North China Orogen: U-Pb Dating, Hf Isotopes and Trace Elements in Zircons from Mantle Xenoliths. *Journal of Petrology*, 51 (1 - 2): 537 - 571. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egp082
- Mattinson, C. G., Menold, C. A., Zhang, J. X., et al., 2007.
 High- and Ultrahigh-Pressure Metamorphism in the North Qaidam and South Altyn Terranes, Western China. *International Geology Review*, 49 (11): 969 995.https://doi.org/10.2747/0020-6814.49.11.969
- Peccerillo, A., Taylor, S. R., 1976. Geochemistry of Eocene Calc-Alkaline Volcanic Rocks from the Kastamonu Area, Northern Turkey. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 58 (1): 63 - 81. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf00384745
- Qian, B., Zhang, Z. W., Liu, H. W., et al., 2017a. Analysis on the Prospecting Potentiality and Cu-Ni Metallogenic Conditions of the Paleozoic Mafic-Ultramafic in the Northwestern Margin of Qaidam Basin. Northwestern Geology, 50 (1): 35-49 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Qian, B., Zhang, Z. W., Wang, Y. L., et al., 2017b. Zircon U-Pb Geochronology, Geochemistry and Metallogensis of the Hudesheng Mafic-Ultramafic Intrusion in the Eastern Section of Northern Qaidam Basin. Geology and Exploration, 53(5):838-854 (in Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.13712/j.cnki.dzykt.2017.05.002
- Qian, B., Zhang, Z. W., Zhang, Z.B., et al., 2015. Zircon U-Pb Geochronology of Niubiziliang Mafic-Ultramafic Intrusion on the Northwest Margin of Qaidam Basin,

Qinghai. Geology in China, 42(3): 482-493 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Rapp, R.P., Shimizu, N., Norman, M.D., et al., 1999. Reaction between Slab-Derived Melts and Peridotite in the Mantle Wedge: Experimental Constraints at 3.8 GPa. Chemical Geology, 160(4):335-356.
- Shimoda, G., Tatsumi, Y., Nohda, S., et al., 1998. Setouchi High-Mg Andesites Revisited: Geochemical Evidence for Melting of Subducting Sediments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 160(3-4):479-492.https://doi. org/10.1016/s0012-821x(98)00105-8
- Sláma, J., Košler, J., Condon, D.J., et al., 2008. Plešovice Zircon— A New Natural Reference Material for U-Pb and Hf Isotopic Microanalysis. *Chemical Geology*, 249(1-2):1-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.11.005
- Smithies, R. H., 2000. The Archaean High-Mg Diorite Suite: Links to Tonalite-Trondhjemite-Granodiorite Magmatism and Implications for Early Archaean Crustal Growth. Journal of Petrology, 41 (12): 1653 - 1671. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/41.12.1653
- Song, S. G., Niu, Y. L., Su, L., et al., 2014. Continental Orogenesis from Ocean Subduction, Continent Collision/ Subduction, to Orogen Collapse, and Orogen Recycling: The Example of the North Qaidam UHPM Belt, NW China. Earth-Science Reviews, 129:59-84. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.11.010
- Song, S. G., Niu, Y. L., Zhang, L. F., et al., 2009. Time Constraints on Orogenesis from Oceanic Subduction to Continental Subduction, Collision, and Exhumation: An Example from North Qilian and North Qaidam HP-UHP Belts. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 25 (9): 2067 – 2077 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Song, S. G., Zhang, L. F., Niu, Y. L., et al., 2005. Evolution from Oceanic Subduction to Continental Collision: A Case Study from the Northern Tibetan Plateau Based on Geochemical and Geochronological Data. Journal of Petrology, 47(3):435-455. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egi080
- Stern, R. A., Hanson, G. N., 1991. Archean High-Mg Granodiorite: A Derivative of Light Rare Earth Element-Enriched Monzodiorite of Mantle Origin. Journal of Petrology, 32(1): 201-238. https://doi.org/10.1093/ petrology/32.1.201
- Sun, S. S., McDonough, W. F., 1989. Chemical and Isotopic Systematics of Oceanic Basalts: Implications for Mantle Composition and Processes. *Geological Society*, London, Special Publications, 42 (1): 313 – 345. https:// doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.1989.042.01.19

- Sun, Y. G., Zhang, G. W., Guo, A. L., et al., 2004. Qinling-Kunlun Triple Junction and Isotope Chronological Evidence of Its Tectonic Process. *Geology in China*, 31(4): 372-378 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tatsumi, Y., 2001. Geochemical Modeling of Partial Melting of Subducting Sediments and Subsequent Melt-Mantle Interaction: Generation of High-Mg Andesites in the Setouchi Volcanic Belt, Southwest Japan. Geology, 29 (4):323.https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029 <0323:gmopmo>2.0.co;2
- Tatsumi, Y., 2003. The Petrology and Geochemistry of High-Magnesium Andesites at the Western Tip of the Setouchi Volcanic Belt, SW Japan. *Journal of Petrology*, 44 (9): 1561-1578.https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egg049
- Tatsumi, Y., 2006. High-Mg Andesites in the Setouchi Volcanic Belt, Southwestern Japan: Analogy to Archean Magmatism and Continental Crust Formation? Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 34(1):467-499.https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405. 125014
- Tatsumi, Y., 2008. Making Continental Crust: The Sanukitoid Connection. Science Bulletin, 53 (11): 1620 - 1633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-008-0185-9
- Tatsumi, Y., Ishizaka, K., 1982. Origin of High-Magnesian Andesites in the Setouchi Volcanic Belt, Southwest Japan, I. Petrographical and Chemical Characteristics. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 60(2):293-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(82)90008-5
- Tatsumi, Y., Ishikawa, N., Anno, K., et al., 2001. Tectonic Setting of High-Mg Andesite Magmatism in the SW Japan Arc: K-Ar Chronology of the Setouchi Volcanic Belt.*Geophysical Journal International*, 144(3):625-631.https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.2001.01358.x
- Wang, H. C., 2006. Early Paleozoic Collisional Orogeny and Magmatism on Northern Margin of the Qaidam Basin (Dissertation).China University of Geosciences, Beijng, 1-149 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang, H.C., Lu, S. N., Yuan, G.B., et al., 2003. Tectonic Setting and Age of the "Tanjianshan Group" on the Northern Margin of the Qaidam Basin. *Geological Bulletin of China*, 22 (7):487-493 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang, Q., Xu, J.F., Jian, P., et al., 2005. Petrogenesis of Adakitic Porphyries in an Extensional Tectonic Setting, Dexing, South China: Implications for the Genesis of Porphyry Copper Mineralization. *Journal of Petrology*, 47(1):119-144. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egi070

Wilson, M., 1989. Igneous Petrogenesis. Unwim Hyman, London. Wood, B.J., Turner, S.P., 2009. Origin of Primitive High-Mg Andesite: Constraints from Natural Examples and Experiments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 283(1-4): 59-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.032

- Woodhead, J. D., Hergt, J. M., Davidson, J. P., et al., 2001. Hafnium Isotope Evidence for 'Conservative' Element Mobility during Subduction Zone Processes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 192(3): 331-346. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(01)00453-8
- Wu, C.L., Gao, Y. H., Wu, S.P., et al., 2007. Zircon SHRIMP U-Pb Dating of Granites from the Da Qaidam Area in the North Margin of Qaidam Basin, NW China. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 23 (8): 1861 - 1875 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu, C. L., Yang, J. S., Xu, Z. Q., et al., 2004. Granitic Magmatism on the Early Paleozoic UHP Belt of Northern Qaidam, NW China. Acta Geologica Sinica, 78 (5): 658-674 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xia, L.Q., Li, X.M., Yu, J.Y., et al., 2016. Mid-Late Neoproterozoic to Early Paleozoic Volcanism and Tectonic Evolution of the Qilian Mountain. *Geology in China*, 43(4): 1087 1138 (in Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.12029/gc20160401
- Xin, H.T., Hao, G.J., Wang, H.C., et al., 2002. New Idea on Presinian Strata in the Northern Margin of Qaidam Massif. Progress in Precambrian Research, 25 (2): 113-119 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xiong, Q., Zheng, J. P., Griffin, W. L., et al., 2011. Zircons in the Shenglikou Ultrahigh-Pressure Garnet Peridotite Massif and Its Country Rocks from the North Qaidam Terrane (Western China): Meso-Neoproterozoic Crust-Mantle Coupling and Early Paleozoic Convergent Plate-Margin Processes. Precambrian Research, 187 (1-2): 33-57. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2011.02.003
- Xu, W. L., Yang, C. H., Yang, D. B., et al., 2006. Mesozoic High-Mg Diorites in Eastern North China Craton: Constraints on the Mechanism of Lithospheric Thinning. *Earth Science Frontiers*, 13(2):120-129 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, W. L., Yang, D. B., Gao, S., et al., 2010. Geochemistry of Peridotite Xenoliths in Early Cretaceous High-Mg[#] Diorites from the Central Orogenic Block of the North China Craton: The Nature of Mesozoic Lithospheric Mantle and Constraints on Lithospheric Thinning. Chemical Geology, 270(1-4): 257-273. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.12.006
- Xu, W.L., Yang, D.B., Pei, F.P., et al., 2009. Petrogenesis of Fushan High-Mg[#] Diorites from the Southern Taihang Mts.in the Central North China Craton: Resulting from

Interaction of Peridotite-Melt Derived from Partial Melting of Delaminated Lower Continental Crust. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 25(8): 1947 - 1961 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Xu,Z.Q.,Xu,H.F.,Zhang,J.X., et al., 1994. The Zhoulangnanshan Caledonian Subductive Complex in the Northern Qilian Mountains and Its Dynamics. Acta Geologica Sinica, 68 (1):1-15 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, Z. Q., Yang, J. S., Wu, C. L., et al., 2006. Timing and Mechanism of Formation and Exhumation of the Northern Qaidam Ultrahigh-Pressure Metamorphic Belt. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 28(2-3):160-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2005.09.016
- Yang, J.S., Xu, Z.Q., Song, S.G., et al., 2000.Discovery of Eclogite in Dulan, Qinghai Province and Its Significance for Studying the HP-UHP Metamorphic Belt along the Central Orogenic Belt of China. Acta Geologica Sinica, 74(2):156-168 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yang, J.S., Xu, Z. Q., Song, S.G., et al., 2010. Subduction of Continental Crust in the Early Palaeozoic North Qaidam Ultrahigh-Pressure Metamorphic Belt, NW China; Evidence from the Discovery of Coesite in the Belt. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 76 (1): 63 - 68. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-6724.2002.tb00071.x
- Yin, J. Y., Yuan, C., Sun, M., et al., 2012. Age, Geochemical Features and Possible Petrogenesis Mechanism of Early Permian Magnesian Diorite in Hatu, Xinjiang. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 28(7): 2171 - 2182 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yogodzinski, G. M., Kay, R. W., Volynets, O. N., et al., 1995. Magnesian Andesite in the Western Aleutian Komandorsky Region: Implications for Slab Melting and Processes in the Mantle Wedge. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 107 (5): 505 - 519. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606 (1995)107<0505:maitwa>2.3.co;2
- Yogodzinski, G.M., Lees, J.M., Churikova, T.G., et al., 2001. Geochemical Evidence for the Melting of Subducting Oceanic Lithosphere at Plate Edges. *Nature*, 409 (6819):500-504.https://doi.org/10.1038/35054039
- Yuan, C., Sun, M., Xiao, W. J., et al., 2008. Garnet-Bearing Tonalitic Porphyry from East Kunlun, Northeast Tibetan Plateau: Implications for Adakite and Magmas from the MASH Zone. International Journal of Earth Sciences, 98 (6): 1489 - 1510. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00531-008-0335-y
- Zha, X.F., Gu, P.Y., Dong, Z.C., et al., 2016. Geological Record of Tectono-Thermal Event at Early Paleozoic and Its Tectonic Setting in West Segment of the North Qaidam. Earth Sci-

ence,41(4):586-604 (in Chinese with English abstract). https://doi.org/10.3799/dqkx.2016.048

- Zhang, C., van Roermund, H., Zhang, L. F., et al., 2012. A Polyphase Metamorphic Evolution for the Xitieshan Paragneiss of the North Qaidam UHP Metamorphic Belt, Western China: In-Situ EMP Monazite and U-Pb Zircon SHRIMP Dating. *Lithos*, 136 - 139: 27 - 45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2011.07.024
- Zhang, C., Zhang, L.F., Roermund, H.V., et al., 2011. Petrology and SHRIMP U-Pb Dating of Xitieshan Eclogite, North Qaidam UHP Metamorphic Belt, NW China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 42 (4): 752 - 767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2011.04.002
- Zhang, C., Zhang, L. F., Zhang, G. B., et al., 2009. Petrology and Calculation of Retrograde PT Path of Eciogites from Xitieshan, North Qaidam, China. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 25 (9):2247-2259 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, G.B., Song, S.G., Zhang, L.F., et al., 2005. Ophiolite-Type Mantle Peridotite from Shaliuhe, North Qaidam UHPM Belt, NW China and Its Tectonic Implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 21(4): 1049-1058 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, G.B., Zhang, L. F., Song, S.G., 2012. An Overview of the Tectonic Evolution of North Qaidam UHPM Belt: From Oceanic Subduction to Continental Collision.*Geological Journal of China Universities*, 18(1):28-40 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, J., Mattinson, C.G., Meng, F., et al., 2008. Polyphase Tectonothermal History Recorded in Granulitized Gneisses from the North Qaidam HP/UHP Metamorphic Terrane, Western China: Evidence from Zircon U-Pb Geochronology. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 120 (5 6): 732-749. https://doi.org/10.1130/b26093.1
- Zhang, J. X., Yang, J. S., Mattinson, C. G., et al., 2005. Two Contrasting Eclogite Cooling Histories, North Qaidam HP/UHP Terrane, Western China: Petrological and Isotopic Constraints. *Lithos*, 84(1-2):51-76.https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2005.02.002
- Zhang, J.X., Yang, J.S., Meng, F.C., et al., 2006. U-Pb Isotopic Studies of Eclogites and Their Host Gneisses in the Xitieshan Area of the North Qaidam Mountains, Western China: New Evidence for an Early Paleozoic HP-UHP Metamorphic Belt. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 28(2-3): 143-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jseaes.2005.09.017
- Zhang, Q., Qian, Q., Zhai, M.G., et al., 2005. Geochemistry, Petrogenesis and Geodynamic Implications of Sanukite. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica, 24(2):117-125 (in

4389

Chinese).

Zhang, Q., Wang, Y., Qian, Q., et al., 2004. Sanukite of Late Archaean and Early Earth Evolution. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 20(6):1355-1362 (in Chinese with English abstract).

附中文参考文献

- 陈丹玲,孙勇,刘良,2007.柴北缘鱼卡河榴辉岩围岩的变质 时代及其地质意义.地学前缘,14(1):108-116.
- 陈丹玲,孙勇,刘良,2008.柴北缘野马滩超高压榴辉岩中副 片麻岩夹层的锆石 U-Pb 定年及其地质意义.岩石学 报,24(5):1059-1067.
- 邓晋福,刘翠,冯艳芳,等,2010.高镁安山岩/闪长岩类 (HMA)和镁安山岩/闪长岩类(MA):与洋俯冲作用相 关的两类典型的火成岩类.中国地质,37(4): 1112-1118.
- 郝国杰,陆松年,王惠初,等,2004.柴达木盆地北缘前泥盆纪 构造格架及欧龙布鲁克古陆块地质演化.地学前缘,11 (3):115-122.
- 侯可军,李延河,田有荣,2009.LA-MC-ICP-MS 锆石微区原 位 U-Pb 定年技术.矿床地质,28(4):481-492.
- 侯可军,李延河,邹天人,等,2007.LA-MC-ICP-MS 锆石 Hf 同位素的分析方法及地质应用.岩石学报,23(10): 2595-2604.
- 钱兵,张照伟,刘会文,等,2017a.柴达木西北缘古生代镁 铁一超镁铁质岩体 Cu-Ni 成矿条件与找矿潜力分析. 西北地质,50(1):35-49.
- 钱兵,张照伟,王亚磊,等,2017b.柴达木北缘东段呼德生镁 铁一超镁铁质岩体锆石 U-Pb 年代学、地球化学及成岩 成矿分析.地质与勘探,53(5):838-854.
- 钱兵,张照伟,张志炳,等,2015.柴达木盆地西北缘牛鼻子梁 镁铁-超镁铁质岩体年代学及其地质意义.中国地质, 42(3):482-493.
- 宋述光,牛耀龄,张立飞,等,2009.大陆造山运动:从大洋俯 冲到大陆俯冲、碰撞、折返的时限——以北祁连山、柴 北缘为例.岩石学报,25(9):2067-2077.
- 孙延贵,张国伟,郭安林,等,2004.秦一昆三向联结构造及其 构造过程的同位素年代学证据.中国地质,31(4): 372-378.
- 王惠初,2006.柴达木盆地北缘早古生代碰撞造山及岩浆作 用(博士学位论文).北京:中国地质大学,1-149.
- 王惠初,陆松年,袁桂邦,等,2003.柴达木盆地北缘滩间山群

的构造属性及形成时代.地质通报,22(7):487-493.

- 吴才来,部源红,吴锁平,等,2007.柴达木盆地北缘大柴旦地 区古生代花岗岩锆石 SHRIMP 定年.岩石学报,23(8): 1861-1875.
- 吴才来,杨经绥,许志琴,等,2004.柴达木盆地北缘古生代超 高压带中花岗质岩浆作用.地质学报,78(5):658-674.
- 夏林圻,李向民,余吉远,等,2016.祁连山新元古代中一晚期 至早古生代火山作用与构造演化.中国地质,43(4): 1087-1138.
- 辛后田,郝国杰,王惠初,等,2002.柴北缘前震旦纪地层系统 的新认识.前寒武纪研究进展,25(2):113-119.
- 许文良,杨承海,杨德彬,等,2006.华北克拉通东部中生代高 Mg闪长岩——对岩石圈减薄机制的制约.地学前缘, 13(2):120-129.
- 许文良,杨德彬,裴福萍,等,2009.太行山南段符山高镁闪长 岩的成因——拆沉陆壳物质熔融的熔体与地幔橄榄岩 反应的结果.岩石学报,25(8):1947-1961.
- 许志琴,徐惠芬,张建新,等,1994.北祁连走廊南山加里东俯 冲杂岩增生地体及其动力学.地质学报,68(1):1-15.
- 杨经绥,许志琴,宋述光,等,2000.青海都兰榴辉岩的发现及 对中国中央造山带内高压一超高压变质带研究的意 义.地质学报,74(2):156-168.
- 尹继元,袁超,孙敏,等,2012.新疆哈图早二叠世富镁闪长岩 的时代、地球化学特征和可能的成因机制.岩石学报, 28(7):2171-2182.
- 查显锋,辜平阳,董增产,等,2016.柴北缘西段早古生代构 造一热事件及其构造环境.地球科学,41(4):586-604.
- 张聪,张立飞,张贵宾,等,2009.柴北缘锡铁山一带榴辉岩的 岩石学特征及其退变 PT 轨迹.岩石学报,25(9): 2247-2259.
- 张贵宾,宋述光,张立飞,等,2005.柴北缘超高压变质带沙柳 河蛇绿岩型地幔橄榄岩及其意义.岩石学报,21(4): 1049-1058.
- 张贵宾,张立飞,宋述光,2012.柴北缘超高压变质带:从大洋 到大陆的深俯冲过程.高校地质学报,18(1):28-40.
- 张旗,钱青,翟明国,等,2005.Sanukite(赞岐岩)的地球化学 特征、成因及其地球动力学意义.岩石矿物学杂志,24 (2):117-125.
- 张旗, 王焰, 钱青, 等, 2004. 晚太古代 Sanukite(赞岐岩) 与地 球早期演化. 岩石学报, 20(6):1355-1362.